

Soszyńska Joanna

Maritime University, Gdynia, Poland

Systems reliability analysis in variable operation conditions

Keywords

reliability function, semi-markov process, large multi-state system

Abstract

The semi-markov model of the system operation process is proposed and its selected parameters are defined. There are found reliability and risk characteristics of the multi-state series- “ m out of k ” system. Next, the joint model of the semi-markov system operation process and the considered multi-state system reliability and risk is constructed. The asymptotic approach to reliability and risk evaluation of this system in its operation process is proposed as well.

1. Introduction

Many technical systems belong to the class of complex systems as a result of the large number of components they are built of and complicated operating processes. This complexity very often causes evaluation of systems reliability to become difficult. As a rule these are series systems composed of large number of components. Sometimes the series systems have either components or subsystems reserved and then they become parallel-series or series-parallel reliability structures. We meet these systems, for instance, in piping transportation of water, gas, oil and various chemical substances or in transport using belt conveyers and elevators.

Taking into account the importance of safety and operating process effectiveness of such systems it seems reasonable to expand the two-state approach to multi-state approach in their reliability analysis. The assumption that the systems are composed of multi-state components with reliability state degrading in time without repair gives the possibility for more precise analysis of their reliability, safety and operational processes' effectiveness. This assumption allows us to distinguish a system reliability critical state to exceed which is either dangerous for the environment or does not assure the necessary level of its operational process effectiveness. Then, an important system reliability characteristic is the time to the moment of exceeding the system reliability critical state and its distribution, which is called the system risk function. This distribution is strictly related to the

system multi-state reliability function that is a basic characteristic of the multi-state system.

The complexity of the systems' operation processes and their influence on changing in time the systems' structures and their components' reliability characteristics is often very difficult to fix and to analyse. A convenient tool for solving this problem is semi-markov modelling of the systems operation processes which is proposed in the paper. In this model, the variability of system components reliability characteristics is pointed by introducing the components' conditional reliability functions determined by the system operation states. Therefore, the common usage of the multi-state system's limit reliability functions in their reliability evaluation and the semi-markov model for system's operation process modelling in order to construct the joint general system reliability model related to its operation process is proposed. On the basis of that joint model, in the case, when components have exponential reliability functions, unconditional multi-state limit reliability functions of the series- m out k_n system are determined.

2. System operation process

We assume that the system during its operation process has ν different operation states. Thus, we can define $Z(t)$, $t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, as the process with discrete states from the set

$$Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\nu\}.$$

In practice a convenient assumption is that $Z(t)$ is a semi-markov process [1] with its conditional sojourn times θ_{bl} at the operation state z_b when its next operation state is z_l , $b, l = 1, 2, \dots, v$, $b \neq l$. In this case this process may be described by:

- the vector of probabilities of the initial operation states $[p_b(0)]_{1 \times v}$,
- the matrix of the probabilities of its transitions between the states $[p_{bl}]_{v \times v}$,
- the matrix of the conditional distribution functions $[H_{bl}(t)]_{v \times v}$ of the sojourn times θ_{bl} , $b \neq l$.

If the sojourn times θ_{bl} , $b, l = 1, 2, \dots, v$, $b \neq l$, have Weibull distributions with parameters α_{bl} , β_{bl} , i.e., if for $b, l = 1, 2, \dots, v$, $b \neq l$,

$$H_{bl}(t) = P(\theta_{bl} < t) = 1 - \exp[-\alpha_{bl} t^{\beta_{bl}}], \quad t > 0,$$

then their mean values are determined by

$$M_{bl} = E[\theta_{bl}] = \alpha_{bl}^{-\frac{1}{\beta_{bl}}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{bl}}), \quad (1)$$

$$b, l = 1, 2, \dots, v, \quad b \neq l.$$

The unconditional distribution functions of the process $Z(t)$ sojourn times θ_b at the operation states z_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, are given by

$$H_b(t) = \sum_{l=1}^v p_{bl} [1 - \exp[-\alpha_{bl} t^{\beta_{bl}}]],$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{l=1}^v p_{bl} \exp[-\alpha_{bl} t^{\beta_{bl}}], \quad t > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

and, considering (1), their mean values are

$$M_b = E[\theta_b] = \sum_{l=1}^v p_{bl} M_{bl}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^v p_{bl} \alpha_{bl}^{-\frac{1}{\beta_{bl}}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{bl}}), \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \quad (3)$$

and variances are

$$D_b = D[\theta_b] = E[(\theta_b)^2] - (M_b)^2, \quad (4)$$

where, according to (2),

$$E[(\theta_b)^2] = \int_0^\infty t^2 dH_b(t)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^v p_{bl} \int_0^\infty t^2 \alpha_{bl} \beta_{bl} \exp[-\alpha_{bl} t^{\beta_{bl}}] t^{\beta_{bl}-1} dt$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^v p_{bl} \alpha_{bl}^{-\frac{2}{\beta_{bl}}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{2}{\beta_{bl}}), \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

Limit values of the transient probabilities

$$p_b(t) = P(Z(t) = z_b), \quad t \geq 0, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

at the operation states z_b are given by

$$p_b = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p_b(t) = \pi_b M_b / \sum_{l=1}^v \pi_l M_l, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \quad (5)$$

where M_b are given by (3) and the probabilities π_b of the vector $[\pi_b]_{1 \times v}$ satisfy the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} [\pi_b] = [\pi_b] [p_{bl}] \\ \sum_{l=1}^v \pi_l = 1. \end{cases}$$

3. Multi-state series- “m out of k_n ” system

In the multi-state reliability analysis to define systems with degrading components we assume that all components and a system under consideration have the reliability state set $\{0, 1, \dots, z\}$, $z \geq 1$, the reliability states are ordered, the state 0 is the worst and the state z is the best and the component and the system reliability states degrade with time t without repair. The above assumptions mean that the states of the system with degrading components may be changed in time only from better to worse ones. The way in which the components and system states change is illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Illustration of states changing in system with ageing components

One of basic multi-state reliability structures with components degrading in time are series- “ m out of k_n ” systems.

To define them, we additionally assume that E_{ij} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l_i$, k_n , l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{k_n} , $n \in N$, are components of a system, $T_{ij}(u)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l_i$, k_n , l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{k_n} , $n \in N$, are independent random variables representing the lifetimes of components E_{ij} in the state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$, while they were in the state z at the moment $t = 0$, $e_{ij}(t)$ are components E_{ij} states at the moment t , $t \in <0, \infty)$, $T(u)$ is a random variable representing the lifetime of a system in the reliability state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$ while it was in the reliability state z at the moment $t = 0$ and $s(t)$ is the system reliability state at the moment t , $t \in <0, \infty)$.

Definition 1. A vector

$$R_{ij}(t, \cdot) = [R_{ij}(t, 0), R_{ij}(t, 1), \dots, R_{ij}(t, z)], t \in <0, \infty),$$

where

$$R_{ij}(t, u) = P(e_{ij}(t) \geq u \mid e_{ij}(0) = z) = P(T_{ij}(u) > t)$$

for $t \in <0, \infty)$, $u = 0, 1, \dots, z$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l_i$, is the probability that the component E_{ij} is in the reliability state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$ at the moment t , $t \in <0, \infty)$, while it was in the reliability state z at the moment $t = 0$, is called the multi-state reliability function of a component E_{ij} .

Definition 2. A vector

$$\mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, 0), \mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, \mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, z)],$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) = P(s(t) \geq u \mid s(0) = z) = P(T(u) > t)$$

for $t \in <0, \infty)$, $u = 0, 1, \dots, z$, is the probability that the system is in the reliability state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$ at the moment t , $t \in <0, \infty)$, while it was in the reliability state z at the moment $t = 0$, is called the multi-state reliability function of a system.

It is clear that from *Definition 1* and *Definition 2*, for $u = 0$, we have $R_{ij}(t, 0) = 1$ and $\mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, 0) = 1$.

Definition 3. A multi-state system is called series- “ m out of k_n ” if its lifetime $T(u)$ in the state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$ is given by

$$T(u) = T_{(k_n - m + 1)}(u), u = 1, 2, \dots, z,$$

where $T_{(k_n - m + 1)}(u)$ is m -th maximal statistics in the random variables set

$$T_i(u) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq l_i} \{T_{ij}(u)\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n, u = 1, 2, \dots, z.$$

Definition 4. A multi-state series- “ m out of k_n ” system is called regular if $l_1 = l_2 = \dots = l_{k_n} = l_n$, $l_n \in N$.

Definition 5. A multi-state series- “ m out of k_n ” system is called homogeneous if its component lifetimes $T_{ij}(u)$ have an identical distribution function, i.e.

$$F(t, u) = P(T_{ij}(u) \leq t), t \in <0, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n, j = 1, 2, \dots, l_i,$$

i.e. if its components E_{ij} have the same reliability function, i.e.

$$R(t, u) = 1 - F(t, u), t \in <0, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z.$$

From the above definitions it follows that the reliability function of the homogeneous and regular series- “ m out of k_n ” system is given by [3]

$$\mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, 1), \mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, 2), \dots, \mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, z)], (6)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_n}{i} [R^{ln}(t, u)]^i [1 - R^{ln}(t, u)]^{k_n - i} \quad (7)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_n}{i} [R^{ln}(t, u)]^i [1 - R^{ln}(t, u)]^{k_n - i}$$

for $t \in <0, \infty)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$,

or by

$$\overline{\mathbf{R}}_{k_n l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t, \cdot) = [1, \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{k_n l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t, 1), \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{k_n l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t, 2), \dots, \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{k_n l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t, z)], (8)$$

where

$$\overline{\mathbf{R}}_{k_n l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t, u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\overline{m}} \binom{k_n}{i} [1 - R^{ln}(t, u)]^i [R^{ln}(t, u)]^{k_n - i} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{for } t \in <0, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \overline{m} = k_n - m,$$

where k_n is the number of series subsystems in the “ m out of k_n ” system and l_n is the number of components of the series subsystems.

Under these definitions, if $R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) = 1$ for $t \leq 0$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, or $\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u) = 1$ for $t \leq 0$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, then

$$M(u) = \int_0^\infty R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) dt, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad (10)$$

or

$$M(u) = \int_0^\infty \bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u) dt, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad (11)$$

is the mean lifetime of the multi-state non-homogeneous regular series “ m out of k_n ” system in the reliability state subset $\{u, u + 1, \dots, z\}$, and the variance is given by

$$D[T(u)] = 2 \int_0^\infty t R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) dt - E^2[T(u)], \quad (12)$$

or by

$$D[T(u)] = 2 \int_0^\infty t \bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u) dt - E^2[T(u)]. \quad (13)$$

The mean lifetime $\bar{M}(u)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, of this system in the particular states can be determined from the following relationships

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}(u) &= M(u) - M(u + 1), \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z - 1, \\ \bar{M}(z) &= M(z). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Definition 6. A probability

$$r(t) = P(s(t) < r \mid s(0) = z) = P(T(r) \leq t), \quad t \in < 0, \infty),$$

that the system is in the subset of states worse than the critical state r , $r \in \{1, \dots, z\}$ while it was in the reliability state z at the moment $t = 0$ is called a risk function of the multi-state homogeneous regular series “ m out of k_n ” system.

Considering *Definition 6* and *Definition 2*, we have

$$r(t) = 1 - R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, r), \quad t \in < 0, \infty), \quad (15)$$

and if τ is the moment when the system risk function exceeds a permitted level δ , then

$$\tau = r^{-1}(\delta), \quad (16)$$

where $r^{-1}(t)$, if it exists, is the inverse function of the risk function $r(t)$.

4. Multi-state series- “ m out of k_n ” system in its operation process

We assume that the changes of the process $Z(t)$ states have an influence on the system components E_{ij} reliability and the system reliability structure as well. Thus, we denote the conditional reliability function of the system component E_{ij} while the system is at the operational state z_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, by

$$[R^{(i,j)}(t, \cdot)]^{(b)} = [1, [R^{(i,j)}(t, 1)]^{(b)}, \dots, [R^{(i,j)}(t, z)]^{(b)}],$$

where for $t \in < 0, \infty)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$,

$$[R^{(i,j)}(t, u)]^{(b)} = P(T_{ij}^{(b)}(u) > t \mid Z(t) = z_b)$$

and the conditional reliability function of the system while the system is at the operational state z_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, by

$$[R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, \cdot)]^{(b)} = [1, [R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, 1)]^{(b)}, \dots, [R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, z)]^{(b)}]$$

for $t \in < 0, \infty)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$,

where according to (7), we have

$$[R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)]^{(b)} = P(T^{(b)}(u) > t \mid Z(t) = z_b)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_n}{i} [[R(t, u)]^{(b)}]^{l_n i}$$

$$\cdot [1 - [[R(t, u)]^{(b)}]^{l_n}]^{k_n - i} \text{ for } t \in < 0, \infty),$$

$u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$,

or by

$$[\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, \cdot)]^{(b)} = [1, [\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, 1)]^{(b)}, \dots, [\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, z)]^{(b)}]$$

for $t \in < 0, \infty)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$,

where according to (9), we have

$$[\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u)]^{(b)} = P(T^{(b)}(u) > t \mid Z(t) = z_b)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{m}} \binom{k_n}{i} [1 - [R(t, u)]^{(b)}]^{i n}$$

$$\cdot [[R(t, u)]^{(b)}]^{l_n}]^{k_n - i} \text{ for } t \in [0, \infty),$$

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

The reliability function $[R^{(i,j)}(t, u)]^{(b)}$ is the conditional probability that the component E_{ij} lifetime $T_{ij}^{(b)}(u)$ in the reliability state subset $\{u, u + 1, \dots, z\}$ is not less than t , while the process $Z(t)$ is at the operation state z_b . Similarly, the reliability function $[R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)]^{(b)}$ or $[\bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)]^{(b)}$ is the conditional probability that the system lifetime $T^{(b)}(u)$ in the reliability state subset $\{u, u + 1, \dots, z\}$ is not less than t , while the process $Z(t)$ is at the operation state z_b . In the case when the system operation time θ is large enough, the unconditional reliability function of the system

$$R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, z)],$$

where

$$R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) = P(T(u) > t) \text{ for } u = 1, 2, \dots, z,$$

or

$$\bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, \cdot) = [1, \bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, 1), \dots, \bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, z)],$$

where

$$\bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u) = P(T(u) > t) \text{ for } u = 1, 2, \dots, z,$$

and $T(u)$ is the unconditional lifetime of the system in the reliability state subset $\{u, u + 1, \dots, z\}$, is given by

$$R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p_b [R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)]^{(b)}, \quad (17)$$

or

$$\bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p_b [\bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u)]^{(b)} \quad (18)$$

for $t \geq 0$ and the mean values and variances of the system lifetimes in the reliability state subset $\{u, u + 1, \dots, z\}$ are

$$M(u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p_b M_b(u) \text{ for } u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad (19)$$

where

$$M_b(u) = \int_0^{\infty} [R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}]^{(b)}(t, u) dt, \quad (20)$$

or

$$M_b(u) = \int_0^{\infty} [\bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}]^{(b)}(t, u) dt, \quad (21)$$

and

$$D[T^{(b)}(u)] = 2 \int_0^{\infty} t [R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}]^{(b)}(t, u) dt - E^2[T^{(b)}(u)], \quad (22)$$

or

$$D[T^{(b)}(u)] = 2 \int_0^{\infty} t [\bar{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}]^{(b)}(t, u) dt - E^2[T^{(b)}(u)] \quad (23)$$

for $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, $t \geq 0$, and p_b are given by (4).

The mean values of the system lifetimes in the particular reliability states u , by (14), are

$$\bar{M}(u) = M(u) - M(u + 1), \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z - 1,$$

$$\bar{M}(z) = M(z). \quad (24)$$

5. Large multi-state series- “ m out of k_n ” system in its operation process

Definition 7. A reliability function

$$\mathfrak{R}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathfrak{R}(t, 1), \dots, \mathfrak{R}(t, z)], \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}(t, u) = \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \mathfrak{R}^{(b)}(t, u),$$

is called a limit reliability function of a multi-state homogeneous regular series- “ m out of k_n ” system in its operation process with reliability function

$$R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, R_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, z)],$$

or

$$\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, \cdot) = [1, \bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, 1), \dots, \bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, z)],$$

where $R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)$, $\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, are given by (17) and (18) if there exist normalising constants

$$a_n^{(b)}(u) > 0, b_n^{(b)}(u) \in (-\infty, \infty), b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z,$$

such that for $t \in C_{\mathfrak{R}^{(b)}(u)}$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{(b)} = \mathfrak{R}^{(b)}(t, u),$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{(b)} = \mathfrak{R}^{(b)}(t, u).$$

Hence, the following approximate formulae are valid

$$R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p^b \mathfrak{R}^{(b)}\left(\frac{t - b_n^{(b)}}{a_n^{(b)}}, u\right), \quad (25) \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z,$$

or

$$\bar{R}_{k_n l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p^b \mathfrak{R}^{(b)}\left(\frac{t - b_n^{(b)}}{a_n^{(b)}}, u\right), \quad (26) \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z.$$

The following auxiliary theorem is proved in [7].

Lemma 1. If

(i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = \infty$, $m = \text{constant}$

$$\left(\frac{m}{k_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } k_n \rightarrow \infty\right),$$

(ii) $\mathfrak{R}^{(m)}(t, u)$

$$= 1 - \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \exp[-V^{(b)}(t, u)] \frac{[V^{(b)}(t, u)]^i}{i!}$$

is a non-degenerate reliability function,

(iii) $R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, z)]$,

$$t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where

$$R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p_b [R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t)]^{(b)}$$

is the reliability function of a homogeneous regular multi-state series- “ m out of k_n ” system, where

$$[R_{k_n l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)]^{(b)}$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_n}{i} [R^{(b)}(t, u)]^{l_n i} [1 - [R^{(b)}(t, u)]^{l_n}]^{k_n - i},$$

$$t \in (-\infty, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z,$$

is its reliability function at the operational state z_b , then

$$\mathfrak{R}^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathfrak{R}^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, \mathfrak{R}^{(m)}(t, z)], \\ t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

is the multi-state limit reliability function of that system if and only if [7]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n [R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{l_n} \\ = V^{(b)}(t, u), t \in C_{V^{(b)}(u)}, \quad (27) \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

Proposition 1. If components of the multi-state homogeneous, regular series- “ m out of k_n ” system at the operational state z_b

(i) have exponential reliability functions,

$$R^{(b)}(t, u) = 1 \text{ for } t < 0,$$

$$R^{(b)}(t, u) = \exp[-\lambda^{(b)}(u)t] \text{ for } t \geq 0, \quad (28)$$

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

(ii) $m = \text{constant}$, $k_n = n$, $l_n > 0$,

(iii) $a_n^{(b)}(u) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n}$, $b_n^{(b)} = \frac{1}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n} \log n$,

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

then

$$\mathfrak{R}_3^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathfrak{R}_3^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, \mathfrak{R}_3^{(m)}(t, z)], \quad (29) \\ t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

Where

$$\mathfrak{R}_3^{(m)}(t, u) = 1 - \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \exp[-\exp(-t)] \frac{\exp[-it]}{i!} \quad (30)$$

for $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, is the multi-state limit reliability function of that system, i.e. for n large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) &\cong 1 - \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \exp[-\exp(-\frac{t - b_n^{(b)}(u)}{a_n^{(b)}(u)})] \\
 &\cdot \frac{\exp[-i \frac{t - b_n^{(b)}(u)}{a_n^{(b)}(u)}]}{i!} \\
 &\cong 1 - \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \exp[-\exp(-\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n t - \log n)] \\
 &\cdot \frac{\exp[-i\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n t - i \log n]}{i!} \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$.

Proof. For n large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u) &= \frac{t + \log n}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n} \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in (-\infty, \infty) \\
 u &= 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to (28) for n large enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u) \\
 &= \exp[-\lambda^{(b)}(u)(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u))] \\
 &= \exp[\frac{-t - \log n}{l_n}] \text{ for } t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \\
 & \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, considering (27), it appears that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [V(t, u)]^{(b)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n [R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u))]^{l_n} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \exp[l_n \frac{-t - \log n}{l_n}] = \exp[-t]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, which means that according to Lemma 1 the limit reliability function of that system is given by (29)-(30).

The next auxiliary theorem is proved in [7].

Lemma 2. If

(i) $\frac{m}{k_n} \rightarrow \eta$, $0 < \eta < 1$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{m}{k_n} - \eta = o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_n}}),$$

(ii) $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{(n)}(t, u) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \int_{-\infty}^{-v^{(b)}(t, u)} \exp[-\frac{x^2}{2}] dx$,

is a non-degenerate reliability function, where $v^{(b)}(t, u)$ is a non-increasing function

(iii) $\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, \mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, z)]$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, where

$$\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p_b [\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)]^{(b)}, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

is the reliability function of a homogeneous regular multi-state series- “ m out of k_n ” system, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)]^{(b)} \\
 &= 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_n}{i} [R^{(b)}(t, u)]^{l_n i} [1 - [R^{(b)}(t, u)]^{l_n}]^{k_n - i}, \\
 & \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v,
 \end{aligned}$$

is its reliability function at the operational state z_b , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{(n)}(t, \cdot) &= [1, \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{(n)}(t, 1), \dots, \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{(n)}(t, z)], \\
 & \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty)
 \end{aligned}$$

is the multi-state limit reliability function of that system if and only if [7]

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{k_n + 1} [R^{l_n}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{(b)} - \eta}{\sqrt{\eta(1 - \eta)}} \\
 &= v^{(b)}(t, u) \text{ for } t \in C_{v^{(b)}(u)}, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \\
 & \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v. \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2. If components of the multi-state homogeneous, regular series- “ m out of k_n ” system at the operational state z_b

(i) have exponential reliability functions,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &R^{(b)}(t, u) = 1 \text{ for } t < 0, \\
 &R^{(b)}(t, u) = \exp[-\lambda^{(b)}(u)t] \text{ for } t \geq 0, \tag{33} \\
 & \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, v, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v,
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\frac{m}{k_n} \rightarrow \eta$, $0 < \eta < 1$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $k_n = n$, $l_n > 0$,

(iii) $a_n^{(b)}(u) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \eta}}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n \sqrt{\eta n}}$, $b_n^{(b)}(u) = \frac{-\log \eta}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n}$,

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

then

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_7^{(\eta)}(t, \cdot) = [1, \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_7^{(\eta)}(t, 1), \dots, \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_7^{(\eta)}(t, z)], \quad (34)$$

$$t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_7^{(\eta)}(t, u) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \quad (35)$$

for $t \in (-\infty, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z,$

is the multi-state limit reliability function of that system, i.e. for n large enough we have

$$\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u) \cong 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{t - b_n^{(b)}(u)}{a_n^{(b)}(u)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

$$\cong 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\sqrt{\eta n}(\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n t + \log n)}{\sqrt{1-\eta}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \quad (36)$$

for $t \in (-\infty, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z.$

Proof. Since, for sufficiently large n , we have

$$a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta n}} t - \log \eta \right) > 0$$

for $t \in (-\infty, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$

then according to (33) for sufficiently large n , we obtain

$$R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u),$$

$$= \exp[-\lambda^{(b)}(u)(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u))]$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{l_n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta n}} t - \log \eta \right)\right] \text{ for } t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

Hence, considering (32), it appears that

$$v^{(b)}(t, u)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{k_n + 1} [[R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{l_n} - \eta]}{\sqrt{\eta(1-\eta)}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1} (\exp[-l_n \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\eta}}{l_n \sqrt{\eta n}} t - \frac{\log \eta}{l_n} \right)] - \eta)}{\sqrt{\eta(1-\eta)}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1} (\exp[-\frac{\sqrt{1-\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta n}} t + \log \eta] - \eta)}{\sqrt{\eta(1-\eta)}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1} (\eta (\exp[-\frac{\sqrt{1-\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta n}} t] - 1))}{\sqrt{\eta(1-\eta)}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1} (\eta (1 - \frac{\sqrt{1-\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta n}} t + o(\frac{\sqrt{1-\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta n}} t) - 1))}{\sqrt{\eta(1-\eta)}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1} (-\frac{\sqrt{\eta(1-\eta)}}{\sqrt{n}} t + \eta \cdot o(\frac{\sqrt{1-\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta n}} t))}{\sqrt{\eta(1-\eta)}}$$

$$= -t \text{ for } t \in (-\infty, \infty), b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

which means that according Lemma 2 the limit reliability function of that system is given by (34)-(35).

The next auxiliary theorem is proved in [7].

Lemma 3. If

(i) $k_n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{m}{k_n} \rightarrow 1, (k_n - m) \rightarrow \bar{m} = \text{constant}$
for $n \rightarrow \infty,$

(ii) $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}^{(\bar{m})}(t, u) = \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{m}} \exp[-\bar{V}^{(b)}(t, u)] \frac{[\bar{V}^{(b)}(t, u)]^i}{i!}$

is a non-degenerate reliability function,

(iii) $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, \cdot) = [1, \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, 1), \dots, \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\bar{m})}(t, z)],$

$t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, where

$$\overline{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t, u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p_b [\overline{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t, u)]^{(b)}, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

is the reliability function of a homogeneous regular multi-state series- “ m out of k_n ” system, where

$$\begin{aligned} & [\overline{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t)]^{(b)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-m} \binom{k_n}{i} [1 - [R^{(b)}(t)]^{l_n}]^i [R^{(b)}(t)]^{l_n(k_n-i)}, \\ & t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \end{aligned}$$

is its reliability function at the operational state z_b , then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{R}}^{(\overline{m})}(t, \cdot) &= [1, \overline{\mathfrak{R}}^{(\overline{m})}(t, 1), \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{R}}^{(\overline{m})}(t, z)], \\ t &\in (-\infty, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

is the multi-state limit reliability function of that system if and only if [7]

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n l_n F^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u) \\ &= \overline{V}^{(b)}(t, u) \text{ for } t \in C_{\overline{V}^{(b)}(u)} \quad (37) \\ & u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. If components of the multi-state homogeneous, regular series- “ m out of k_n ” system at the operational state z_b

- (i) have exponential reliability functions,

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(b)}(t, u) &= 1 \text{ for } t < 0, \\ R^{(b)}(t, u) &= \exp[-\lambda^{(b)}(u)t] \text{ for } t \geq 0, \quad (38) \\ u &= 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \end{aligned}$$
- (ii) $k_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n - m = \overline{m} = \text{constant}$,
- (iii) $a_n^{(b)}(u) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n k_n}$, $b_n^{(b)}(u) = 0$,

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2^{(\overline{m})}(t, \cdot) &= [1, \overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2^{(\overline{m})}(t, 1), \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2^{(\overline{m})}(t, z)], \quad (39) \\ t &\in (-\infty, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2^{(\overline{m})}(t, u) = \begin{cases} 1, & t < 0, \\ \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{\overline{m}} \exp[-t] \frac{t^i}{i!}, & t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

is the multi-state limit reliability function of that system, i.e. for n large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(\overline{m})}(t, u) \\ & \cong \begin{cases} 1, & t < 0, \\ \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{\overline{m}} \exp\left[-\frac{t - b_n^{(b)}(u)}{a_n^{(b)}(u)}\right] \\ \quad \cdot \frac{\left[\frac{t - b_n^{(b)}(u)}{a_n^{(b)}(u)}\right]^i}{i!}, & t \geq 0, \end{cases} \\ & \cong \begin{cases} 1, & t < 0, \\ \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{\overline{m}} \exp[t\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n k_n] \\ \quad \cdot \frac{[t\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n k_n]^i}{i!}, & t \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u) &= \frac{t}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n k_n} < 0 \text{ for } t < 0, \\ u &= 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u) &= \frac{t}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n k_n} \geq 0 \text{ for } t \geq 0, \\ u &= 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, according to (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u) &= 0 \text{ for } t < 0, \\ u &= 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & F^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u) \\ &= 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{t}{k_n l_n}\right] \text{ for } t \geq 0, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \\ & b = 1, 2, \dots, v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, considering (37), it appears that

$$\overline{V}^{(b)}(t, u)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n l_n F^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u) = 0 \text{ for } t < 0, \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

and

$$\bar{V}^{(b)}(t, u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n l_n F^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n l_n \left(1 - \exp\left[-\frac{t}{k_n l_n}\right]\right) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n l_n \left(1 - 1 + \frac{t}{k_n l_n} - o\left(\frac{t}{k_n l_n}\right)\right) \\ = t \text{ for } t \geq 0, u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

which means that according Lemma 3 the limit reliability function of that system is given by (39)-(40).

The next auxiliary theorem is proved in [7].

Lemma 4. If

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = k, k > 0, 0 < m \leq k, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = \infty,$
- (ii) $\mathfrak{R}(t, u) = \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \mathfrak{R}^{(b)}(t, u)$ is a non-degenerate reliability function,
- (iii) $\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, \mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, z)],$
 $t \in (-\infty, \infty),$ where

$$\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t) \cong \sum_{b=1}^v p_b [\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t)]^{(b)}$$

is the reliability function of a homogeneous regular multi-state series- "m out of k_n" system, where

$$[\mathbf{R}_{k_n, l_n}^{(m)}(t, u)]^{(b)} \\ = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_n}{i} [R^{(b)}(t, u)]^{lni} [1 - [R^{(b)}(t, u)]^{ln}]^{k_n-i} \\ t \in (-\infty, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

is its reliability function at the operational state z_b, then

$$\mathfrak{R}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathfrak{R}(t, 1), \dots, \mathfrak{R}(t, z)], t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

is the multi-state limit reliability function of that system if and only if [7]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{ln} = \mathfrak{R}_0^{(b)}(t, u) \quad (42) \\ \text{for } t \in C_{\mathfrak{R}_0^{(b)}(u)}, u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

where $\mathfrak{R}_0^{(b)}(t, u), u = 1, 2, \dots, z,$ is a non-degenerate reliability function and

$$\mathfrak{R}(t, u) \\ = 1 - \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k}{i} [\mathfrak{R}_0^{(b)}(t, u)]^i [1 - \mathfrak{R}_0^{(b)}(t, u)]^{k-i} \quad (43) \\ \text{for } t \in (-\infty, \infty), u = 1, 2, \dots, z.$$

Proposition 4. If components of the multi-state homogeneous, regular series- "m out of k_n" system at the operational state z_b

- (i) have exponential reliability functions,

$$R^{(b)}(t, u) = 1 \text{ for } t < 0, \\ R^{(b)}(t, u) = \exp[-\hat{\lambda}^{(b)}(u)t] \text{ for } t \geq 0, \quad (44) \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

- (ii) $k_n \rightarrow k, k > 0, l_n \rightarrow \infty, m = \text{const},$

$$\text{(iii) } a_n^{(b)}(u) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n}, b_n^{(b)}(u) = 0, \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

then

$$\mathfrak{R}_9^{(m)}(t, \cdot) = [1, \mathfrak{R}_9^{(m)}(t, 1), \dots, \mathfrak{R}_9^{(m)}(t, z)], \quad (45) \\ t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}_9^{(m)}(t, u) \\ \cong \begin{cases} 1, & t < 0, \\ 1 - \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k}{i} \exp[-t] \exp[-t]^i & \\ \cdot [1 - \exp[-t]]^{k-i}, & t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

is the multi-state limit reliability function of that system, i.e. for n large enough we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_9^{(m)}(t, u)$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} 1, & t < 0, \\ 1 - \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k}{i} \left[\exp\left[-\frac{t - b_n^{(b)}(u)}{a_n^{(b)}(u)}\right] \right]^i \\ \cdot [1 - \exp\left[-\frac{t - b_n^{(b)}(u)}{a_n^{(b)}(u)}\right]]^{k-i}, & t \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} 1, & t < 0, \\ 1 - \sum_{b=1}^v p_b \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k}{i} \left[\exp[-t\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n] \right]^i \\ \cdot [1 - \exp[-t\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n]]^{k-i}, & t \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

Proof. Since

$$a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u) = \frac{t}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n} < 0 \text{ for } t < 0,$$

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

and

$$a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u) = \frac{t}{\lambda^{(b)}(u)l_n} \geq 0 \text{ for } t \geq 0,$$

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

therefore, according to (44), we obtain

$$[R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{l_n} = 1 \text{ for } t < 0,$$

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

and

$$[R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)] = \exp\left[-\frac{t}{l_n}\right] \text{ for } t \geq 0,$$

$$u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

Hence, according (42)-(43), it appears that

$$\mathfrak{R}_0^{(b)}(t, u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{l_n} = 1$$

$$\text{for } t < 0, u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{R}_0^{(b)}(t, u)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [R^{(b)}(a_n^{(b)}(u)t + b_n^{(b)}(u), u)]^{l_n}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\exp\left[-\frac{t}{l_n}\right] \right]^{l_n}$$

$$= \exp[-t] \text{ for } t \geq 0, u = 1, 2, \dots, z, b = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

which, by Lemma 4, completes the proof.

6. Conclusion

The purpose of this paper is to give the method of reliability analysis of selected multi-state systems in variable operation conditions. As an example a multi-state series-“*m* out of *k*” systems are analyzed. Their exact and limit reliability functions, in constant and in varying operation conditions, are determined. The paper proposes an approach to the solution of practically very important problem of linking the systems’ reliability and their operation processes. To involve the interactions between the systems’ operation processes and their varying in time reliability structures a semi-markov model of the systems’ operation processes and the multi-state system reliability functions are applied. This approach gives practically important in everyday usage tool for reliability evaluation of the large systems with changing their reliability structures and components reliability characteristic during their operation processes. The results can be applied to the reliability evaluation of real technical systems.

References

- [1] Grabski, F. (2002). *Semi-Markov Models of Systems Reliability and Operations*. Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw.
- [2] Hudson, J. & Kapur, K. (1985). Reliability bounds for multi-state systems with multi-state components. *Operations Research* 33, 735- 744.
- [3] Kolowrocki, K. (2004). *Reliability of Large Systems*. Elsevier, Amsterdam - Boston - Heidelberg - London - New York - Oxford - Paris - San Diego - San Francisco - Singapore - Sydney - Tokyo.
- [4] Kolowrocki, K. & Soszynska, J. (2005). Reliability and Availability Analysis of Complex Port Transportation Systems. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 21, 1-21.
- [5] Lisnianski, A. & Levitin, G. (2003). *Multi-state System Reliability. Assessment, Optimisation and Applications*. World Scientific Publishing Co., New Jersey, London, Singapore, Hong Kong.

- [6] Meng, F. (1993). Component- relevancy and characterisation in multi-state systems. *IEEE Transactions on reliability* 42, 478-483.
- [7] Soszyńska, J. (2002). *Asymptotic approach to reliability evaluation of non-renewal multi-state systems in variable operation conditions*. Chapter 20 (in Polish). Gdynia Maritime University. Project founded by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research, Gdynia.
- [8] Xue, J. & Yang, K. (1995). Dynamic reliability analysis of coherent multi-state systems. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 4, 44, 683–688.