# "COLORING" OF MAP BY FINITE NUMBER OF COLORED POINTS USING FUZZY RECTANGLES

\***G. Tsitsiashvili,** \*\***M. Osipova** IAM FEB RAS, FEFU, Vladivostok, Russia,

e-mails: \*guram@iam.dvo.ru, mao1975@list.ru

## ABSTRACT

In this paper an algorithm of a map "coloring" by a finite number of color points is constructed. This algorithm is based on the procedure of the interval images recognition and on the algorithm of a construction of a fuzzy rectangle. It is significantly simpler and compact than the triangulation procedure using in the mapping.

**Keywords:** a fuzzy rectangle, an interval images recognition, a polygon triangulation, a classification algorithm, a mapping problem.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In this paper an algorithm of a map "coloring" by a finite number of color points is constructed. This algorithm is based on a concept of fuzzy rectangles [1-7]. For any color point surrounding internal and external rectangles are built. The rectangles containing points with same colors coincide or do not intersect. If these points have different colors then their internal rectangles do not intersect and their external rectangles may intersect only if they correspond to points with different colors. But membership functions connected with intersecting rectangles are conformed. Conformation algorithm is based on the interval images recognition procedure [8]. It is much simpler and compact than the triangulation procedure using in the mapping.

## 2. INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL RECTANGLES

A main idea of [1] in a construction of multidimensional segments (rectangles) is a dividing of recognized class of objects into subclasses. An each subclass is accorded to some external and embedded in it internal multidimensional segments. Segments accorded to different subclasses of a same class do not intersect (or intersect on a set with zero Lebesgue measure). Internal segments accorded to different classes also do not intersect (or intersect on a set with zero Lebesgue measure).

Assume that there is a finite set of vectors on a plane

$$Z_1 = ((x_{11}, x_{21}), j_1), \dots, Z_n = ((x_{1n}, x_{2n}), j_n),$$
  
$$-\infty < x_{ik} < \infty, i = 1, 2, j_k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

If real numbers c, d coincide then the interval  $(c, d) = \emptyset$ . The coordinates  $x_{ik}$  characterize a displacement of the point  $Z_k$  on the plane and the coordinate  $j_k$  is the number of the class to which this point belong (the color of the point). Assume that the set  $\{x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in}\}$ , i = 1, 2, consists of different numbers. Denote  $P_s = \{k: j_k = s\}$ ,  $Q_s = \{k: j_k \neq s\}$ .

Internal and external one dimensional segments. Fix the index i and put  $k \in P_s$ , denote

 $a_{ik} = \min(x_{it}: x_{it} \le x_{ik}, t \in P_s, x_{it} \le x_{iq} \le x_{ik} \Longrightarrow q \in P_s),$ 

$$b_{ik} = \max(x_{it}: x_{it} \ge x_{ik}, t \in P_s, x_{it} \ge x_{iq} \ge x_{ik} \Longrightarrow q \in P_s), a_{ik} \le b_{ik},$$

$$A_{ik} = \max(x_{it}: t \in Q_s, x_{it} < a_{ik}), B_{ik} = \min(x_{it}: t \in Q_s, x_{it} > b_{ik}), A_{ik} \le B_{ik}.$$
 (1)

Among the segments which contain the point  $x_{ik}$  and do not contain points  $x_{it}$ ,  $t \in Q_s$ , the segment  $[a_{ik}, b_{ik}]$  is maximal. Call it the internal segment.

**Theorem 1.** The segments  $[a_{ik}, b_{ik}], [a_{ik'}, b_{ik'}], k \neq k'$ , coincide or do not intersect.

The number  $A_{ik}$  can not be defined by the formula (1) if  $x_{ik} = \min(x_{it}: 1 \le t \le n)$ . Then put  $A_{ik} = \min(x_{it}: 1 \le t \le n) = A_i$ . Analogously the number  $B_{ik}$  cannot be defined by the formula (1) if  $x_{ik} = \max(x_{it}: 1 \le t \le n)$ . Then put  $B_{ik} = \max(x_{it}: 1 \le t \le n) = B_i$ .

Among segments which contain the point  $x_{ik}$  and do not contain points  $x_{it}$ ,  $t \in Q_s$ , the segment  $[A_{ik}, B_{ik}]$  is maximal. Call  $[A_{ik}, B_{ik}]$  the external segment containing  $x_{ik}$  and  $[a_{ik}, b_{ik}] \subseteq [A_{ik}, B_{ik}]$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $j_k = j_{k'}$ ,  $k \neq k'$  then the segments  $[A_{ik}, B_{ik}]$ ,  $[A_{ik'}, B_{ik'}]$  coincide or have general boundary point. If  $j_k \neq j_{k'}$  then these segments cannot coincide but may intersect and each point of this intersection contains no more than in two different segments.

Proofs of Theorems 1, 2 are based on elementary logic-geometric considerations.

**Rectangles surrounding dedicated points.** Define internal and external rectangles surrounding the point  $x_{ik}$  by the equalities

 $[a_{1k}, b_{1k}] \otimes [a_{2k}, b_{2k}], [A_{1k}, b_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2k}, B_{2k}].$ 

**Theorem 3.** The rectangles  $[a_{1k}, b_{1k}] \otimes [a_{2k}, b_{2k}]$ ,  $[a_{1k'}, b_{1k'}] \otimes [a_{2k'}, b_{2k'}]$ ,  $k \neq k'$ , coincide or do not intersect.

**Theorem 4.** The rectangles  $[A_{1k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2k}, B_{2k}]$ ,  $[A_{1k'}, B_{1k'}] \otimes [A_{2k'}, B_{2k'}]$ ,  $j_k = j_{k'}$ ,  $k \neq k'$  coincide or intersect on a set with zero Lebesgue measure (by pieces of their boundaries).

The statements of Theorems 3, 4 directly follow Theorems 1, 2.

**Theorem 5.** The rectangles  $[A_{1k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2k}, B_{2k}]$ ,  $[A_{1k'}, B_{1k'}] \otimes [A_{2k'}, B_{2k'}]$ ,  $j_k \neq j_{k'}$ ,  $k \neq k'$ , cannot coincide but may intersect. An each point of such intersections may belong no more than to two different rectangles.

**Proof**. Fix k and put  $s = j_k$ . By a definition in the sets

$$[a_{1k}, b_{1k}] \otimes [a_{2k}, b_{2k}], [A_1, A_{1k}] \otimes [a_{2k}, b_{2k}], [B_{1k}, B_1] \otimes [a_{2k}, b_{2k}], [a_{1k}, b_{1k}] \otimes [A_2, A_{2k}], [a_{1k}, b_{1k}] \otimes [B_{2k}, B_2]$$

only points  $X_t = (x_{1t}, x_{2t})$  satisfying the equality  $j_t = s$ , may contain. In the sets

 $(A_{1k}, a_{1k}) \otimes [A_2, B_2], (b_{1k}, B_{1k}) \otimes [A_2, B_2], [A_1, B_1] \otimes (A_{2k}, a_{2k}), [A_1, B_1] \otimes (b_{2k}, B_{2k})$ there are not points  $X_t, 1 \le t \le n$ . Consequently the rectangles

 $[A_{1k}, a_{1k}] \otimes [a_{2k}, b_{2k}], [b_{1k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [a_{2k}, b_{2k}], [a_{1k}, b_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2k}, a_{2k}], [a_{1k}, b_{1k}] \otimes [b_{2k}, B_{2k}]$ contain in a single external rectangle  $[A_{1k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2k}, B_{2k}]$ .

Define the sets

$$C_{1k}^{-} = [A_1, A_{1k}] \otimes \{A_{2k}\}, C_{1k}^{+} = [B_{1k}, B_1] \otimes \{A_{2k}\}, C_{2k}^{-} = [A_1, A_{1k}] \otimes \{B_{2k}\},$$
  

$$C_{2k}^{+} = [B_{1k}, B_1] \otimes \{B_{2k}\}, \quad C_{3k}^{-} = \{A_{1k}\} \otimes [A_2, A_{2k}], C_{3k}^{+} = \{A_{1k}\} \otimes [B_{2k}, B_2],$$
  

$$C_{4k}^{-} = \{B_{1k}\} \otimes [A_2, A_{2k}], C_{4k}^{+} = \{B_{1k}\} \otimes [B_{2k}, B_2], \quad C_{pk}^{-} = C_{pk}^{+} \cup C_{pk}^{-}, p=1, \dots, 4.$$

In any set  $C_{pk}$ , p = 1, ..., 4 there is only single point  $X_{t_{pk}}$  from the set  $\{X_1, ..., X_n\}$ . And all these points (some of them may coincide) satisfy inequalities  $j_{t_{pk}} \neq s$ . Construct now rectangles

$$\begin{split} R_{1k} &= [A_1, a_{1k}] \otimes [A_2, a_{2k}], \ L_{1k} &= [A_1, A_{1k}] \otimes [A_2, A_{2k}], \ R_{2k} &= [A_1, a_{1k}] \otimes [b_{2k}, B_2], \\ L_{2k} &= [A_1, A_{1k}] \otimes [B_{2k}, B_2], \ R_{3k} &= [b_{1k}, B_1] \otimes [A_2, a_{2k}], \ L_{3k} &= [B_{1k}, B_1] \otimes [A_2, A_{2k}], \\ R_{4k} &= [b_{1k}, B_1] \otimes [b_{2k}, B_2], \ L_{4k} &= [B_{1k}, B_1] \otimes [B_{2k}, B_2], \ L_{pk} \subseteq R_{pk}, p=1, \dots, 4, \\ S_{1k} &= [A_{1k}, a_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2k}, a_{2k}], \ S_{2k} &= [A_{1k}, a_{1k}] \otimes [b_{2k}, B_{2k}], \\ S_{3k} &= [b_{1k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2k}, a_{2k}], \ S_{4k} &= [b_{1k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [b_{2k}, B_{2k}], \end{split}$$

By the definition of the external rectangle we obtain that for any point  $X_t \in L_{pk}$ ,  $j_t \neq s$ , the inclusion  $[A_{1t}, B_{1t}] \otimes [A_{2t}, B_{2t}] \subseteq$ 

 $R_{pk}$  is true. And the external rectangle  $[A_{1t}, B_{1t}] \otimes [A_{2t}, B_{2t}]$  which has some internal point of the rectangle  $S_{pk}$  contains  $S_{pk}$  completely.

Prove now that internal points of the rectangle  $S_{pk}$  may belong besides of  $[A_{1k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2k}, B_{2k}]$  to no more than single another external rectangle  $[A_{1t}, B_{1t}] \otimes [A_{2t}, B_{2t}]$ ,  $j_t \neq s$ . Consider the case p = 1, k = 1 because in all other cases this statement may be verified similar.

If for all *t* so that  $X_t \in L_{1k}$ ,  $j_t \neq s$ , we have that all  $j_t$  coincide. then last statement is obvious. Assume now that  $X_t \in L_{1k}$ ,  $j_t \neq s$ ,  $S_{1k} \subseteq [A_{1t}, B_{1t}] \otimes [A_{2t}, B_{2t}]$  and there is  $X_{t'} \in L_{1k}$ , so that  $j_{t'} \neq s$ ,  $j_{t'} \neq j_t$ . Then it is clear that  $[A_{1t'}, B_{1t'}] \otimes [A_{2t'}, B_{2t'}] \cap S_{1k} = \emptyset$  because  $x_{t'1} < x_{t1}$ ,  $x_{t'2} < x_{t2}$  and  $(A_{1,k}, A_{2,k}) \in [A_{1t}, B_{1t}] \otimes [A_{2t}, B_{2t}]$ . Similar statements may be proved for internal points of the rectangles

 $[A_{1,k}, a_{1,k}] \otimes [b_{2,k}, B_{2,k}], [b_{1,k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [A_{2,k}, a_{2,k}], [b_{1,k}, B_{1k}] \otimes [b_{2,k}, B_{2,k}].$ So Theorem 5 is proved.

## **3. CONSTRUCTION OF FUZZY SET FOR POINTS WITH IDENTICAL COLOR**

Without a restriction of a generality suppose that there are numbers  $0 = J_0 < J_1 < J_2 < \cdots < J_m = n$  so that  $P_s = \{k: J_{s-1} < k \le J_s\}, 1 \le s \le m$ . From Theorems 3, 4 for fixed *s* the set of indexes  $\{k \in P_s\}$  is divided into equivalence classes with elements which belong to coincident internal and external rectangles.

Consider the case s = 1 and suppose that appropriate equivalence classes are indexes sets

{1,...,  $k_1$ }, { $k_1 + 1, ..., k_2$ },..., { $k_{l-1} + 1, ..., k_l = J_1$ }. Denote  $\gamma_{iq} = a_{ik_q}$ ,  $\Gamma_{i,q} = A_{ik_q}$ ,  $\delta_{iq} = b_{ik_q}$ ,  $\Delta_{iq} = B_{ik_q}$ . It is clear that  $\Gamma_{iq} \le \gamma_{iq} \le \delta_{iq} \le \Delta_{iq}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 1 \le q \le l$ .

For fixed q,  $1 \le q \le l$ , define the function  $\mu_q(X), X \in E^2$ , by conditions:

a)  $X \in \mathcal{B}_q, \mathcal{B}_q = [\gamma_{1q}, \delta_{1q}] \otimes [\gamma_{2q}, \delta_{2q}] \Rightarrow \mu_q(X) = 1$ , b)  $X \notin \mathcal{A}_q, \mathcal{A}_q = [\Gamma_{1q}, \Delta_{1q}] \otimes [\Gamma_{2q}, \Delta_{2q}] \Rightarrow \mu_q(X) = 0$ ,

c) assume that for  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$  the inclusion  $X = (x_1, x_2) \in \partial G_{\lambda}$  is true where  $\partial G_{\lambda}$  is the set

$$G_{\lambda} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{2} \left[ \gamma_{iq} + \lambda (\Gamma_{iq} - \gamma_{iq}), \ \delta_{iq} + \lambda (\Delta_{iq} - \delta_{iq}) \right],$$

boundary then  $\mu_q(X) = 1 - \lambda$ , and so for  $X \in \mathcal{A}_q \setminus \mathcal{B}_q = \bigcup_{0 \le \lambda \le 1} \partial G_{\lambda}$ 

$$\mu_q(X) = 1 - \max_{1 \le i \le 2} \max\left[\frac{x_i - \gamma_{iq}}{\Gamma_{iq} - \gamma_{iq}}, \frac{x_i - \delta_{iq}}{\Delta_{iq} - \delta_{iq}}\right].$$
(2)

From the equality (2) obtain

$$\mu_q(X) \le 1 - \frac{x_i - \gamma_{iq}}{\Gamma_{iq} - \gamma_{iq}}, \ \Gamma_{iq} \le x_i \le \gamma_{iq} \ ; \ \mu_q(X) \le 1 - \frac{x_i - \delta_{iq}}{\Delta_{iq} - \delta_{iq}}, \delta_{iq} \le x_i \le \Delta_{iq}.$$
(3)

Define now the fuzzy set which denotes an inclusion of the point  $X = (x_1, x_2)$  into one of constructed external rectangles  $[\Gamma_{1q}, \Delta_{1q}] \otimes [\Gamma_{2q}, \Delta_{2q}], 1 \le q \le m$  [2]. As these external rectangles intersect only on pieces of their boundaries where appropriate functions equal zero then it is possible to define the membership function of this fuzzy set by the equality  $\mu(X) = \sum_{q=1}^{l} \mu_q(X)$ .

# 4. CONSTRUCTION OF FUZZY SETS FOR POINTS WITH DIFFERENT COLORS USING MAP BACKGROUND

For any s, s = 1, ..., m, we constructed a fuzzy set with a membership function  $\mu^{s}(X)$  of the point X.

Theorem 6. The following inequality

$$\sum_{s=1}^{m} \mu^{s}(X) \le 1, \ X \in E^{2},$$
(4)

takes place.

**Proof**. To prove the inequality (4) it is necessary to use Theorem 5 with its designations and proof and to estimate the function  $\sum_{s=1}^{m} \mu^{s}(X)$  for  $X \in S_{1k}$ . In this case

$$\sum_{s=1}^{m} \mu^{s}(X) = \mu^{s}(X) + \mu^{j_{t}}(X)$$

where from the formula (3) we have

$$u^{s}(X) \leq 1 - \frac{x_{1} - a_{1k}}{A_{1k} - a_{1k}}, \ \mu^{j_{t}}(X) \leq 1 - \frac{x_{1} - A_{1k}}{a_{1k} - A_{1k}}.$$

So the inequality (4) is true. Theorem 6 is proved.

At the end of this considerations denote  $\mu^0(X) = 1 - \sum_{s=1}^m \mu^s(X)$  and call this nonnegative difference the membership function of the fuzzy set which describes a background of the map. Consequently on a base of an information about the finite set of colored points on a plane we construct fuzzy sets which define coloring of the map.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Zadeh L.A.1965. Fuzzy sets. Information and Control. Vol. 8, no. 3. P. 338-353.
- 2. Kaufmann A. 1973. Introduction a la theorie des sous-ensembles flous. Paris. Masson.
- 3. Robbins H. E. 1944/45. On the measure of a random set. Ann. Math. Statist. Vol. 15/16. P. 70-74/342-347.
- 4. Ambartzumian R.V., Mecke J., Shtoyan D. 1989. Introduction to stochastic geometry. Moscow: Nauka. (In Russian).
- Chakraborty D., Ghosh D. 2014. Analytical fuzzy plane geometry II. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. Vol. 243. P. 84–109.
- 6. Efremov A.A. 2013. New operations with fuzzy numbers and intervals. Dokladi TUSURa. Vol. 1 (27). P. 95-99. (In Russian).
- 7. Tsitsiashvili G.Sh. 2013. Image recognition by multidimensional intervals. Reliability: Theory and Applications. Vol. 8, no. 9. P. 21-23.

- 8. Tsitsiashvili G.Sh., Kharchenko Yu.N., Losev A.S. and Osipova M.A. 2014. Interval images recognition and fuzzy sets. International Mathematical Forum. Vol. 9, no. 19, 917 921.
- 9. Bolotin E.I., Tsitsiashvili G.Sh. 2003. Spatial-temporal prognostication in functioning of center of mite encephalitis infection. Bulletin of FEB RAS. 2003. Vol. 1. P. 5-19. (In Russian).
- 10. Tsitsiashvili G.Sh., Shatilina T.A., Kulik V.V., Nikitina M.A., Golycheva I.V. 2002. Modification of method of interval mathematics applicable to the forecast of extreme ice cover in the Okhotsk Sea. Bulletin of FEB RAS. Vol. 4. P. 111-118. (In Russian)