

Reliability Of Heterogeneous (k,r)-out-of-(n,m) System

Ilya Gertsbakh

•

Ben Gurion University
e-mail: elyager@bezeqint.net

Yoseph Shpungin

•

Sami Shamon College of Engineering
e-mail: yosefs@sce.ac.il

Abstract

We consider a coherent binary system consisting of m components of a-type and n components of b-type. The a-type and b-type components have i.i.d lifetimes with cdf $F_a(t)$ and $F_b(t)$, respectively. The a-type and b-type components are stochastically independent. Our system is UP if at least k a-type components are up and at least r components of b-type are up. We present a simple formula for this system lifetime cumulative distribution function.

Keywords: heterogeneous system; k-out-of-n system; survival signature.

We consider the following generalization of a "standard" k -out-of- n system. Our system has two types of components: n components of a-type and m components of b-type. The components of each type have iid lifetimes denoted as $F_a(t)$ and $F_b(t)$, respectively. The a-type and b-type components are stochastically independent. The standard k – out – of – n system is operational (i.e. in state UP) iff at least k of its components are operational, i.e. are up. Our system is defined to be operational if and only if at least k components of a-type **and** at least r of b-type components are up. Formally, our system can be viewed as a series connection of two k – out – of – n -type subsystems.

Suppose, without loss of generality, we number the a-type components by numbers $1, 2, \dots, m$ and components of b-type by numbers $m + 1, \dots, m + n$. System state is therefore a binary vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_{m+n})$, where $x_i = 1$ or $x_i = 0$ if component i is up or down, respectively.

System state is a binary function $\varphi(x)$ which takes values 1 or 0 if the system is UP or DOWN, respectively.

If $\varphi(x) = 1$, then x_1 is called an UP-vector or an UP-set. If the state vector x is not an UP-vector, we call it a DOWN-vector or DOWN-set

According to the above description of our system, an UP-set must have at least k ones on the first m positions of vector x and at least r ones on the last n positions. For example, for $m = 5$ and $n = 6$, $k = 4$, $r = 4$, the vector $x = (0, 1, 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$ is an UP-vector.

Denote by $NU(v, w)$ the number of UP-vectors which have exactly v ones on the first m positions and w ones on the last n positions. Obviously, $v \geq k$, $w \geq r$.

The following Lemma follows from the above description:

Lemma

$$NU(v, w) = C_m^v \cdot C_n^w = \frac{m!n!}{v!(m-v)!w!(n-w)!} \quad (1)$$

The proof is obvious: there are C_m^v ways to locate v ones on the first n positions of the state vector x and C_n^w ways to locate w ones on the last n positions of this vector.#

For sake of brevity, an *UP-vector* with v and w components of a-type and b-type, will be called an (v, w) -*UP-vector*.

Now everything is ready to write the formula for system *UP* probability. Let us take an arbitrary time instant t and denote by $q_a = F_a(t)$ the probability that an a-type component is *down* at time instant t . Similarly, $q_b = F_b(t)$ is the *down* probability that component of b-type is *down* at time instant t . Denote $p_a = 1 - q_a$ and $p_b = 1 - q_b$.

By independence of all a-type and b-type components and by independence of a-type components from b-type components, the probability that a state vector x is an (v, w) -*UP-vector* equals

$$P(U(v, w)) = p_a^v q_a^{n-v} p_b^w q_b^{m-w}. \quad (2)$$

Now we arrive at

Theorem 1

$$P(\text{system is UP at time } t) = \sum_{v \geq k, w \geq r} NU(v, w) \cdot P(U(v, w)). \quad (3)$$

If the system is *UP* at time instant t its lifetime τ_s is greater or equal t , Therefore,

$$P(\tau_s \geq t) = \sum_{v \geq k, w \geq r} C_m^v \cdot C_n^w \cdot [F_a(t)]^v [1 - F_a(t)]^{n-v} [F_b(t)]^w [1 - F_b(t)]^{m-w}. \quad (4)$$

Remark 1. The central role for deriving formula (4) is played by the expression for $UN(v, w)$, see (1). Let us note that $NU(v, w)$ depend only on system structure function and they are, therefore, system *structural invariants*. It is quite obvious how to generalize the above derivation for the case when the system has more than two, say $K > 2$ types of components. By definition, this system is *UP* iff it has at least v_i *up* components of each type, $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$.#

Remark 2. A system consisting of several $k - out - of - n$ subsystems is, to the best of our knowledge, the only lucky case where we can find in a simple form (like in (1)) an explicit formula for the number of system *UP-state* vectors having exactly v_i components of i -th type in *up* state, $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$.

Samaniego and Navarro suggested to call the collection of all $NU(v, w)$ values *survival signature*, see [1]. If $ND(u, w)$ is the number of system *DOWN* states with exactly v a-components and w b-components *down*, then it would be natural to call the collection of all $ND(v, w)$ *failure signature*.#

Remark 3. There is a simple relationship between the values of $ND(v, w)$ and $NU(v, w)$:

$$ND(v, w) + NU(n - v, m - w) = \frac{m!n!}{v!(m-v)!w!(n-w)!} \quad (5)$$

Indeed, let us chose v components of a-type and w components of b-type and let them be *down*. Then we will obtain either a *DOWN* state or an *UP* state vector for the system. But having v, w components *down*, means having the remaining components *up*, which proves (5). From practical point of view, (5) shows that the knowledge of the survival signature provides us the knowledge its dual failure signature.#

Remark 4. Let us return to coherent binary systems consisting of one type iid components. Crucial role in its reliability evaluations play so-called *signature* $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$, see [2]. Let $F(j) = \sum_{k=1}^j f_k$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be the so-called cumulative signature or system D-spectrum [3,4]. $F(j)$ is the probability that the system is *DOWN* if j of its components are *down*, i.e. the probability that system failure appeared after x components have failed, $x = 1, 2, \dots, j$. If we know the D-spectrum of the system, we can find the number $ND(r)$ -the number of system failure or *DOWN* states with exactly r components *down* and $n - r$ components *up*, by using the following simple formula, see [3,4]:

$$ND(r) = F(r)n!/(r!(n-r)!). \quad (6)$$

For systems of real size, having $n > 8 - 10$ components, there are efficient Monte Carlo algorithms for fast and accurate estimation of $F(j)$, see [4]

In our opinion, in case of coherent systems having two types of independent and identical components, reliability calculations must be based on the knowledge of a two-dimensional analogue of the cumulative D-spectrum. It should be a function $G(k, r)$ expressing the probability that a random permutation of n and m components of both types contains a failure set with k and r *down* components of a- and b-type, respectively.#

References

- [1]. Samaniego, F.J. and J. Navarro (2016). On comparing coherent systems with heterogeneous components, *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, **48**, 1-24.
- [2] Samaniego, F.J.(1985).On closure of the IFR under formation of coherent systems. *IEEE Trans. on Reliability*,**34**: 69-72.
- [3] Gertsbakh, I. and Y. Shpungin (2012). Stochastic models of network survivability. *Qual. Tech. Qualit. Management*, 9(1), 45-58.
- [4] Gertsbakh, I. and Y. Shpungin (2011). *Network Reliability and Resilience*. Springer Briefs in Electrical and Computer Engineering, Springer.