

# CURVATURE TENSORS IN $SP$ -KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO QUARTER- SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

S. SUNITHA DEVI<sup>1</sup>, T. SATYANARAYANA<sup>2</sup>, K. L. SAI PRASAD<sup>3,\*</sup>

•  
Department of Mathematics

<sup>1</sup> Vignan's Institute of Information Technology, Visakhapatnam, 530 049, INDIA

<sup>2</sup> Pragati Engineering College, Surampalem, Near Peddapuram, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>3,\*</sup> Gayatri Vidya Parishad College of Engineering for Women, Visakhapatnam, 530 048, INDIA  
sunithamallakula@yahoo.com<sup>1</sup> tsn9talluri@gmail.com<sup>2</sup> klsprasad@yahoo.com<sup>3,\*</sup>

## Abstract

*A conformal curvature tensor and con-circular curvature tensor in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold are derived in this article which admits a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Conclusively, we verified our results by considering a case of 3-D  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold.*

**Keywords:**  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold,  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold, con-circular curvature tensor, Quarter-symmetric metric connection, Ricci tensor, conformal curvature tensor.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53C07, 53C25

## I. INTRODUCTION

A  $M_n$  (Riemannian manifold) is symmetrical locally if  $\nabla.R = 0$  and symmetric if  $R(X, Y).R = 0$  where  $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$  appears as a derivation. If  $R(X, Y).R = 0$ , then  $M_n$  is turns to be the pseudo symmetric space that is defined with the criteria  $R.R = L(g, R)$ . A manifold  $M_n$  is conformally symmetric if  $\nabla.C = 0$  and if  $R.C = 0$ , it is said to be Weyl semi symmetric which are characterised by the condition  $R.C = L_C Q(g, C)$ .

Schouten & Friedman proposed the concept of semi-symmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold. Some of the semi-symmetric curvature criteria in Riemannian manifolds are given by Yano [12].

Semi symmetric metric connection plays a very significant part in the geometry of Riemannian manifolds. For instance, a semi-symmetric metric is the displacement of the earth's surface after a fixed point. A quarter-symmetric connection is a linear connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  on an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M_n, g)$  if  $\tilde{T}$  is  $\tilde{T}(X, Y) = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y$ .

Sato [8] proposed concepts of almost para contact Riemannian manifold. In 1977, Matsumoto and Adati [1] characterized special para-Sasakian as well as para-Sasakian manifolds as a particular type of almost contact Riemannian manifolds. Before Sato, Kenmotsu [6] characterized a type of this manifold. In 1995, Sinha and Sai Prasad [9] characterized a type of almost para contact metric manifolds mainly para-Kenmotsu and special para-Kenmotsu manifolds. For the literature, on Para-Kenmotsu manifolds one can refer to Balga [2], Srivastava and Srivastava [10], Olszak [7].

On the other hand, various geometers of Riemannian manifolds and specifically,  $SP$ -Sasakian

manifolds were widely explored for the quarter-symmetric metric connections [3, 4, 5]. Inspired by these studies, in this work, we explore a class of special para-Kenmotsu manifolds that allowing the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

The current study is arranged as follows: Section 2 has certain prerequisites. In relation to the quarter symmetric metric connection in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold, we derive the equations for the Ricci tensor  $\tilde{S}$  & Riemannian curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}$  in Section 3. The equations in relation to quarter symmetric metric connection are also derived in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $M_n$  for concircular curvature tensor  $\tilde{Z}$  in Section 4. It is illustrated that the manifold  $M_n$  is  $\eta$ -Einstein given the concircular curvature tensor  $\tilde{Z}$  meets either of these conditions  $\tilde{R}(\xi, U).\tilde{Z} = 0, \tilde{Z}(\xi, U).\tilde{R} = 0, \tilde{Z}(\xi, U).\tilde{Z} = 0, \tilde{Z}(X, Y).\tilde{S} = 0$ . Section 5 is intended to define and analyse the curvature properties in the quarter-symmetric metric connection of the Weyl-conformal curvature tensor  $\tilde{C}$ , of form  $(0, 4)$ , of  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $M_n$ . Finally, an illustration of a 3d  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold is considered in Section 6.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

Suppose  $M_n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold provided with structure tensors  $(\Phi, \xi, \eta)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \eta(\xi) = 1 \\ (b) \quad & \Phi^2(X) = X - \eta(X)\xi; \bar{X} = \Phi X. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$M_n$  is called an almost para contact manifold.

Suppose that  $g$  be a Riemannian metric such that, for all vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M_n$

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \\ (b) \quad & \Phi\xi = 0, \eta(\Phi X) = 0, \text{rank } \Phi = n - 1 \\ (c) \quad & g(\Phi X, \Phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then it is stated that the manifold [8]  $M_n$  accepts an almost para contact structure of Riemannian  $(\Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ .

Furthermore, if  $(\Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  fulfils the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & (\nabla_X \eta)Y - (\nabla_Y \eta)X = 0; \\ (b) \quad & (\nabla_X \nabla_Y \eta)Z = [-g(X, Z) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)]\eta(Y) + [-g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)]\eta(Z); \\ (c) \quad & \nabla_X \xi = \Phi^2 X = X - \eta(X)\xi; \\ (d) \quad & (\nabla_X \Phi)Y = -g(X, \Phi Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\Phi X; \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

then  $M_n$  is termed a para-Kenmotsu manifold or simply a  $P$ -Kenmotsu manifold [9].

A  $P$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $M_n$  permitting a 1-form  $\eta$  fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & (\nabla_X \eta)Y = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y); \\ (b) \quad & (\nabla_X \eta)Y = \varphi(\bar{X}, Y); \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

here  $\varphi$  signifies  $\Phi$  associate, is termed a special para-Kenmotsu manifold or shortly  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold [9].

Suppose  $(M_n, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional,  $n \geq 3$ , differentiable manifold of class  $C^\infty$  and let  $\nabla$

be its connection Levi-Civita. Then curvature tensor  $R$  of class (1, 3) of the the Riemannian Christoffel is provided by:

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z. \quad (5)$$

The (0,2)-tensor  $S^2$  and the Ricci operator  $S$  are described as follows

$$g(SX, Y) = S(X, Y), \quad (6)$$

$$\text{and } S^2(X, Y) = S(SX, Y). \quad (7)$$

It is known [9] that the following relationship exist in the  $P$ -Kenmotsu manifold:

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & S(X, \xi) = -(n-1)\eta(X), \\ (b) \quad & g[R(X, Y)Z, \xi] = \eta[R(X, Y, Z)] = g(X, Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y, Z)\eta(X), \\ (c) \quad & R(\xi, X)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \\ (d) \quad & R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y; \text{ when } X \text{ is orthogonal to } \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Almost para-contact Riemannian manifold  $M_n$  is termed to be  $\eta$ -Einstein and form of its Ricci tensor

$$S(X, Y) = a g(X, Y) + b \eta(X) \eta(Y) \quad (9)$$

Fields  $X$  and  $Y$  for any vector;  $a$  and  $b$  are a few scalars on  $M_n$ . In specific, if  $b = 0$  thus  $M_n$  is considered to be an Einstein manifold.

### III. CURVATURE TENSOR

A linear connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in a Riemannian manifold  $M_n$  is called a quarter-symmetric metric connection [4] if their torsion tensor  $T(X, Y)$  meets

$$T(X, Y) = \eta(Y) \Phi X - \eta(X) \Phi Y, \quad (10)$$

and

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 0; \quad (11)$$

where  $\Phi$  indicates a tensor field of the form (1, 1) and  $\eta$  is a 1-form.

A quarter-symmetric metric connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  with torsion tensor (10) is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y) \Phi X - \eta(X) \Phi Y \quad (12)$$

here,  $\nabla$  indicates Riemannian connection.

Suppose manifold  $M_n$  to be an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold and  $\Phi(X)$  as  $\Phi X = \bar{X}$ . Therefore the (10) and (11) may be represented as:

$$T(X, Y) = \eta(Y)\bar{X} - \eta(X)\bar{Y} \quad (13)$$

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Let us choose the linear and Riemannian connection as  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$ , respectively

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + U(X, Y), U \text{ is a tensor of type } (1, 2) \quad (15)$$

We have [12], for  $\tilde{\nabla}$  to be a quarter symmetric metric connection in  $M_n$ ,

$$U(X, Y) = 1/2[T(X, Y) + T'(X, Y) + T'(Y, X)], \quad (16)$$

where

$$g(T'(X, Y), Z) = g(T(Z, X), Y)]. \quad (17)$$

Using (13) and (17), we get

$$T'(X, Y) = \eta(X)\bar{Y} - 'F(X, Y)\xi; \quad (18)$$

here  $'F(X, Y) = g(\bar{X}, Y)$ ,  $\eta$  signifies a 1-form and  $\xi$  indicates the associated vector field.

From (13) and (16), in (18), we have

$$U(X, Y) = \eta(Y)\bar{X} - 'F(X, Y)\xi, \quad (19)$$

and then (15) becomes

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\bar{X} - 'F(X, Y)\xi; \quad (20)$$

which indicates  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold.

Suppose  $\tilde{R}$  and  $R$  be the curvature tensors of the connections  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  correspondingly, we get

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Z - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}Z \quad (21)$$

Using (20) and (5) in (21), we have

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y. \quad (22)$$

If we describe  $\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U)$  as  $g(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, U)$  and  $R(X, Y, Z, U)$  as  $g(R(X, Y)Z, U)$ ; then (22) becomes

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U) = R(X, Y, Z, U) + g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U). \quad (23)$$

The above expression (23) denotes the relation between  $\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z$  of  $M_n$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $R(X, Y)Z$  w.r.t.  $\nabla$ .

Put  $X = U = e_i$  in (23), where  $e_i$  be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold and taking summation over  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), we get

$$\tilde{S}(Y, Z) = S(Y, Z) + n g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z); \quad (24)$$

here  $\tilde{S}$  and  $S$  signifies the Ricci tensors of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$ .

From (24), by using  $Y = Z = e_i$ , we obtain

$$\tilde{r} = r + n^2 - 1; \quad (25)$$

here  $\tilde{r}$  and  $r$  indicates the scalar curvatures of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  correspondingly.

**Theorem 3.1:** Suppose that  $\tilde{S}$  be the Ricci tensor &  $\tilde{R}$  be the curvature tensor in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $M_n$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , then

- (a)  $\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z + \tilde{R}(Y, Z)X + \tilde{R}(Z, X)Y = 0$ ,
- (b)  $\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U) + \tilde{R}(X, Y, U, Z) = 0$ ,
- (c)  $\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U) - \tilde{R}(Z, U, X, Y) = 0$ ,
- (d)  $\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, \xi) = 2R(X, Y, Z, \xi)$ ,
- (e)  $\tilde{S}(X, \xi) = 2S(X, \xi)$ .

**Proof:** Using first Bianchi identity and eq.(22) w.r.t. the Riemannian connection, we obtain (a).

From eq. (23), we obtain (b) & (c). By putting  $U = \zeta$  in (23) and by using (8) we have (d).

By using  $Y = Z = e_i$  in equation (d) as well as summation with  $i$ , we obtain (e).

**Theorem 3.2:** The Ricci tensor  $\tilde{S}$  in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $M_n$  w.r.t. the connection for the quarter-symmetric metric is symmetrical.

**Proof:** The theorem-proof is based on the eq. provided in (24).

#### IV. CONCIRCULAR CURVATURE TENSOR

The  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M_n$  is provided by the concircular curvature tensor  $Z(X, Y)$  [11, 13]:

$$Z(X, Y)U = R(X, Y)U - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, U)X - g(X, U)Y] \quad (26)$$

for all  $X, Y, U \in TM$ .

The concircular curvature tensor w.r.t.  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold is  $\tilde{Z}$ .

Therefore, using the equations (22) and (26), we get

$$\tilde{Z}(X, Y)U = Z(X, Y)U - \frac{1}{n}[g(Y, U)X - g(X, U)Y], \quad (27)$$

which denotes the relation between the concircular curvature tensors w.r.t.  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$ .

**Theorem 4.1:** If  $\tilde{Z}$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold satisfies  $\tilde{R}(\zeta, U).\tilde{Z} = 0$ , the manifold is  $\eta$ -Einstein.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\tilde{R}(\zeta, U).\tilde{Z}(X, Y)\zeta = 0$ , in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold.

Then

$$(\tilde{R}(\zeta, U).\tilde{Z}(X, Y)\zeta) - \tilde{Z}(\tilde{R}(\zeta, U)X, Y)\zeta - \tilde{Z}(X, \tilde{R}(\zeta, U)Y)\zeta - \tilde{Z}(X, Y).\tilde{R}(\zeta, U)\zeta = 0. \quad (28)$$

Also, from (8) and (22), we get

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)\zeta = 2[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \text{ and} \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{R}(\zeta, X)U = 2[g(X, U)\zeta - \eta(U)X]. \quad (30)$$

Then, by using (28), (29) and (30), we get

$$\tilde{Z}(X, Y)U = 0. \quad (31)$$

Now, using the equations (26) and (27), the equation (31) reduces to

$$\mathbb{R}(X, Y, U) = \frac{r+n-1}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, U)X - g(X, U)Y]. \quad (32)$$

We obtain with the above equation w.r.t.  $X$ ,

$$S(Y, U) = \frac{r+n-1}{n(n-1)}[ng(Y, U)X - \eta(Y)\eta(U)], \quad (33)$$

which on further contracting, we get

$$r = 1 - n^2. \tag{34}$$

Using (34), the expression (33) becomes

$$S(Y, U) = \eta(Y)\eta(U) - ng(Y, U); \tag{35}$$

which proves  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

**Theorem 4.2:** If  $\tilde{Z}$  with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold satisfies  $\tilde{Z}(\xi, U).\tilde{R} = 0$ , the manifold is an  $\eta$ -Einstein.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\tilde{Z}(\xi, U).\tilde{R}(X, Y)\xi = 0$ , in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold.

Then

$$(\tilde{Z}(\xi, U).\tilde{R}(X, Y)\xi) - \tilde{R}(\tilde{Z}(\xi, U)X, Y)\xi - \tilde{R}(X, \tilde{Z}(\xi, U)Y)\xi - \tilde{R}(X, Y).\tilde{Z}(\xi, U)\xi = 0 \tag{36}$$

Also, from (8), (26) and (27), we have

$$\tilde{Z}(\xi, U)Y = \left[ \frac{r}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{n} - 1 \right] [g(U, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)U] \tag{37}$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}(X, Y)\xi = \left[ \frac{r}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{n} - 1 \right] [\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X]. \tag{38}$$

By substituting the values from (29), (30), (37) and (38) in the expression (36), we obtain

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)U = g(U, Y)X - g(U, X)Y + \eta(U)[1 - \eta(X)]Y. \tag{39}$$

Using (22), the above eq. becomes

$$R(X, Y)U = \eta(U)[1 - \eta(X)]Y; \tag{40}$$

and it proves.

**Theorem 4.3:** If the  $\tilde{Z}$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold meets  $\tilde{Z}(\xi, U).\tilde{Z} = 0$ , the manifold is  $\eta$ -Einstein.

**Proof:** The theorem-proof is trivial by the use of the the fact that  $\tilde{Z}(\xi, U).\tilde{Z}$  indicates  $\tilde{Z}(\xi, U)$  was acting on  $\tilde{Z}$  as a derivation.

**Theorem 4.4:** If  $\tilde{Z}$  (concircular curvature tensor) with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$ (quarter symmetric metric connection) in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold fulfills  $\tilde{Z}(X, Y).\tilde{S} = 0$ , the manifold signifies  $\eta$ -Einstein.

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{Z}(X, Y).\tilde{S}(U, V) = 0$  in an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold.

Then it means

$$\tilde{S}(\tilde{Z}(X, Y)U, V) + \tilde{S}(U, \tilde{Z}(X, Y)V) = 0. \tag{41}$$

By choosing  $X = \xi$  in (41) and on using the equations (37) and (24), we obtain

$$\left[ \frac{r}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{n} - 1 \right] \left[ -\eta(U)S(Y, V) - n\eta(U)g(Y, V) + 2\eta(U)\eta(V)\eta(Y) - \eta(V)S(U, Y) - n\eta(V)g(U, Y) \right] = 0. \tag{42}$$

Again by using  $U = \xi$  in the eq. (42), we get

$$S(Y, V) = \eta(Y)\eta(V) - ng(Y, V); \tag{43}$$

which provides the required result.

### V. CONFORMAL CURVATURE TENSOR

The Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $C$  of the type  $(0,4)$  of a manifold  $M_n$  w.r.t. a Riemannian connection provided by [12, 13]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(X, Y, Z, U) = & R(X, Y, Z, U) - \frac{1}{n-2} [S(Y, Z)g(X, U) - S(X, Z)g(Y, U) \\
 & + g(Y, Z)S(X, U) - g(X, Z)S(Y, U)] \\
 & + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{44}$$

Analogous to this, we define  $\tilde{C}$  i.e. Weyl conformal curvature tensor of the type  $(0,4)$ , of an *SP*-Kenmotsu manifold w.r.t. the quarter-symmetric metric connection as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{C}(X, Y, Z, U) = & \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U) - \frac{1}{n-2} [\tilde{S}(Y, Z)g(X, U) - \tilde{S}(X, Z)g(Y, U) \\
 & + g(Y, Z)\tilde{S}(X, U) - g(X, Z)\tilde{S}(Y, U)] \\
 & + \frac{\tilde{r}}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{45}$$

Then, using the equations (23), (24), (25), (44) and (45), we get

$$\tilde{C}(X, Y, Z, U) = C(X, Y, Z, U),
 \tag{46}$$

which implies the following statement:

**Theorem 5.1:** The conformal curvature tensors of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  are equal in an *SP*-Kenmotsu manifold.

Suppose that  $\tilde{R} = 0$ . Then  $\tilde{S} = 0$  and  $\tilde{r} = 0$ .

From (45) we get that  $\tilde{C} = 0$  and hence using (46), we get  $C = 0$ .

Therefore, we provide the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2:** The manifold is conformally flat in an *SP*-Kenmotsu manifold if the conformal curvature tensor  $\tilde{C}$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  vanishes.

Let  $\tilde{S} = 0$ . Then  $\tilde{r} = 0$ . Hence from (24) and (25), we get

$$S(Y, Z) = \eta(Y)\eta(Z) - n g(Y, Z)
 \tag{47}$$

and

$$r = 1 - n^2.
 \tag{48}$$

Then by using (23), (44), (47) and (48), we obtain

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U) = C(X, Y, Z, U).
 \tag{49}$$

From (49), we state that

**Theorem 5.3:** Conformal curvature tensor  $C$  of the manifold is identical in an *SP*-Kenmotsu manifold if  $\tilde{S}$  (Ricci tensor) of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  i.e quarter-symmetric metric connection vanishes, then  $\tilde{R}$  i.e. curvature tensor of  $\tilde{\nabla}$ .

Using theorem (5.2) and (5.3), we state that

**Theorem 5.4:** If  $\tilde{S}$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in an *SP*-Kenmotsu manifold disappears, then the manifold is conformally flat if  $\tilde{R}$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  vanishes.

### VI. EXAMPLE OF A 3D $SP$ -KENMOTSU MANIFOLD ADMITTING THE QUARTER-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

**Example 6.1:** Suppose that 3d manifold  $M = \{(x, y, u) \in R^3\}$ , where  $(x, y, u)$  indicates "standard coordinates" in  $R^3$ . Considering  $e_1, e_2$  &  $e_3$  be fields of vector in  $M$  as

$$e_1 = e^{-u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = e^{-u} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}. \tag{50}$$

for each point of  $M$  are linearly independent vectors and constitute a basis of  $\chi(M)$ .

Riemannian metric  $g(X, Y)$  is

$$g(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Let } \eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3), \text{ for any } Z \in \chi(M)$$

Let  $\eta$  be a 1-form & (1, 1)-tensor field on  $M$  expressed by  $\Phi$  defined as

$$\Phi^2(e_1) = e_1, \Phi^2(e_2) = e_2, \Phi^2(e_3) = 0.$$

The  $g(X, Y)$  and linearity of  $\Phi$  yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(e_3) &= 1, \quad \Phi^2(X) = X - \eta(X)e_3; \text{ and} \\ g(\Phi X, \Phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

Thus for  $e_3 = \xi$ ,  $(\Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  describes an almost para-contact structure in  $M$ .

Let  $\nabla$  be a Riemannian connection in regard to the Riemannian metric  $g$ .

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = e_1, [e_2, e_3] = e_2.$$

The formula of Koszul's is

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \\ &\quad - g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]). \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

By taking  $e_3 = \xi$  in (51), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= -e_3, \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = e_1; \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e_3, \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = e_2; \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore manifold under consideration satisfies  $\nabla_X \xi = \Phi^2 X = X - \eta(X)\xi$ ,  $\eta(\xi) = 1$  and the expression (3)d.

The above expressions satisfy all the properties of  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold with  $(\Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . Thus  $M(\Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a 3-dimensional manifold.

Further from (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 &= -2e_3, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_3 = 2e_1; \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 = -2e_3, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_3 = 2e_2; \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_3 = 0; \end{aligned}$$



Therefore  $T(X, Y)$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  can be expressed as:

$$T(e_i, e_i) = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3; \text{ and} \\ T(e_1, e_2) = 0, T(e_1, e_3) = e_1, T(e_2, e_3) = e_2.$$

Also, we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}g)(e_2, e_3) = 0, (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}g)(e_3, e_1) = 0, (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_3}g)(e_1, e_2) = 0,$$

which proves that the manifold  $M$  under consideration admits  $\tilde{\nabla}$ .

Thus it proves that  $M$  under consideration is an  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifold and allows  $\tilde{\nabla}$ .

**Acknowledgements:** The authors are grateful to Dr. B. Satyanarayana, Assistant Professor of Nagarjuna University for his important ideas in preparation of the article.

Declarations of interest: none

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Adati, T. and Matsumoto, K. On conformally recurrent and conformally symmetric P-Sasakian manifolds. TRU Math., 13 (1977), 25-32.
- [2] Balga, A. M.  $\eta$ -Ricci solutions on para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, 20(1) (2015), 1-13.
- [3] Biswas, S. C. and De, U. C. Quarter-symmetric metric connection in an  $sp$ -Sasakian manifold, Commun. Fac. Sci. Uni. Ank. Series AI, 46 (1997), 49-56.
- [4] Golab, S. On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections, Tensor (N.S.), 29 (1975), 249-254.
- [5] Kalpana and Priti Srivastava, Some curvature properties of Quarter-symmetric metric connection in an  $sp$ -Sasakian manifold, International Mathematical Forum, 50(5) (2010), 2477-2484.
- [6] Kenmotsu, K. A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds. Tohoku Math. Journal, 24 (1972), 93-103.
- [7] Olszak, Z. The Schouten-van Kampen affine connection adapted to an almost (para) contact metric structure. Publications De L'Institut Mathematique, 94(108) (2013), 31-42.
- [8] Sato, I. On a structure similar to the almost contact structure, Tensor (N.S.), 30 (1976), 219-224.
- [9] Sinha, B. B. and Sai Prasad, K. L. A class of almost para contact metric Manifold. Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, 87 (1995), 307-312.
- [10] Srivastava, K. and Srivastava, S. K. On a class of  $\alpha$ -para Kenmotsu manifolds, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 13(1) (2016), 391-399.
- [11] Yano, K. Conircular Geometry, I. Conircular transformations, Proc. Imp. Acad., Tokyo, 16 (1940), 195-200.
- [12] Yano, K. On semi-symmetric metric connection, Revue Roumanine de Mathematiques Pures et Appliques, 15 (1970), 1579-1581.
- [13] Yano, K. and Boschner, S. Curvature and Betti numbers, Annals of Mathematical Studies 32, Princeton University Press, 1953, pp. 187-191.