A Discrete Analogue of Teissier Distribution: Properties and Classical Estimation with Application to Count Data

Bhupendra Singh¹, Varun Agiwal², Amit Singh Nayal¹, Abhishek Tyagi^{1*}

¹Department of Statistics, Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut-250004, India ²Indian Institute of Public Health, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. bhupendra.rana@gmail.com varunagiwal.stats@gmail.com

amitnayal009@gmail.com *abhishektyagi033@gmail.com

Abstract

This article presents a novel discrete distribution with a single parameter, called the discrete Teissier distribution. It is noted that this model, with one parameter, offers a high degree of fitting flexibility as it is capable of modelling equi-, over-, and under-dispersed, positive and negative skewed, and increasing failure rate datasets. In this article, we have explored its numerous essential distributional features such as recurrence relation, moments, generating function, index of dispersion, coefficient of variation, entropy, survival and hazard rate functions, mean residual life and mean past life functions, stress-strength reliability, order statistics, and infinite divisibility. The classical point estimators have been developed using the method of maximum likelihood, method of moment, and least-squares estimation, whilst an interval estimation based on Fisher's information has also been presented. Finally, the applicability of the suggested discrete model has been demonstrated using two complete real datasets.

Keywords: COVID-19; Discrete Teissier distribution; Maximum Likelihood estimation; Method of moment estimation; Least square estimation

1. INTRODUCTION

In today's competitive world, the data generated from numerous sectors such as engineering, finance, and medical science, among others, is getting increasingly complicated. Therefore, we need distributions that are best suited for the analysis of this complex data. As a result, during the last three decades, developing a new probability distribution has become a major focus of statistical study. However, much of this research has focused on developing continuous probability distributions. But, there may be situations when discrete distributions are better appropriate for data modelling, or when the data generated is discrete. For example, in reliability engineering, the number of successful cycles before failure when a device is working in the cycle, the number of times a device is switched on/off; in survival analysis, the survival times for those suffering from diseases such as lung cancer or the period from remission to relapse may be recorded as the number of days/weeks, the number of deaths, or daily cases due to the COVID-19 pandemic observed over a specified duration, etc. Furthermore, the count phenomenon arises in many practical situations, such as the number of earthquakes that occur in a calendar year, the number of absences, the number of accidents, the number of species types in ecology, the number of insurance claims, and so on. Hence, it seems reasonable to model such scenarios using appropriate discrete distributions.

Due to the fact that conventional discrete distributions such as the Binomial, Poisson, Geometric, and Negative Binomial were insufficient to model a variety of discrete data. [21] suggested a novel approach in order to build a new discrete model through the survival function of a continuous model. [3] named this approach the survival discretization method. One of the most significant advantages of this technique is that the discrete distribution that has been developed preserves the same functional form of the survival function as its continuous counterpart. As a result of this feature, the various reliability properties of the distribution remain unaltered. According to this methodology, for a given continuous random variable (RV) X with survival function (SF) $S_X(x) = P(X \ge x)$, the discretized version can be derived as

$$P(Y = y) = P(y \le X \le y + 1)$$

= S_X(y) - S_X(y + 1); y = 0, 1, 2, 3, ... (1)

Over the last two decades, this approach has gotten a lot of attention. Using this technique, [21] gave a discretized version of the normal distribution. Following this, [22] obtained discrete Rayleigh distribution. A comprehensive analysis of the evolution of the discrete distribution up to 2014 was provided by [3]. Then afterwards, a large number of significant discrete distributions have emerged in the literature. For example,[1], [11],[29], [28], [6], and the references cited therein. Most recently, [7] gave a discrete analogue of the odd Weibull-G family of distributions. They discussed the classical and Bayesian estimation and showed the applicability of the proposed family to count datasets.

In this paper, we have proposed the discrete analogue of the Teissier model [27] named discrete Teissier (DT) distribution using the survival discretization method. Recently, the Teissier distribution comes light when [26] introduced a two-parameter exponentiated Teissier distribution. The main objectives of proposing the DT model can be summarized as follows:

- An important objective of the proposed study is to provide a discrete model that has greater flexibility with less number of parameters so that the form of various distributional characteristics is easily manageable and easy to analyze the real datasets.
- The discrete data generated from many practical studies, such as mortality experiments, industrial experiments, etc., show constant or increasing failure rates, so we want to develop a discrete model with a monotonically increasing failure rate function.
- To produce a model that not only fit an equi-, over-, and under-dispersed real data, that is also capable of modelling a positively skewed, negatively skewed, platykurtic, and leptokurtic dataset.
- To provide consistently better fits than other well-known discrete models in the existing statistical literature.

The rest of the article is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the one-parameter DT distribution. In Section 3 some important distributional and reliability characteristics are studied. In section 4, we estimate the parameter of DT distribution by different classical methods. In Section 5, numerical illustrations using empirical and real datasets have been presented. Finally, some concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

2. Discrete Teissier distribution

If *X* follows univariate continuous Teissier distribution with parameter α then its probability density function (PDF) and SF can be written as

$$f(x,\alpha) = \alpha(\exp(\alpha x) - 1)\exp(\alpha x - e^{\alpha x} + 1); \alpha > 0, x > 0,$$
(2)

$$S(x) = \exp(\alpha x - e^{\alpha x} + 1); \alpha > 0, x > 0.$$
(3)

Using the survival discretization approach (1), the DT distribution can be obtained as

$$p_{y} = P[Y = y] = S_{X}(y) - S_{X}(y+1)$$

= exp(1) exp(\alpha y)(exp(-e^{\alpha y}) - exp(\alpha - e^{\alpha(y+1)})); y = 0, 1, 2, ..., \alpha > 0. (4)

For ease of notation, after re-parametrization $\theta = \exp(\alpha)$, the probability mass function (PMF) in (4) can be written as

$$p_y = P[Y = y] = \exp(1)\theta^y(\exp(-\theta^y) - \theta\exp(-\theta^{(y+1)})); y = 0, 1, 2, ..., \theta > 1.$$
(5)

The cumulative distribution function (CDF) corresponding to PMF (5) is

$$F(x) = 1 - \theta^{y+1} \exp(1 - \theta^{(y+1)}); y = 0, 1, 2, ..., \theta > 1.$$
(6)

3. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES

3.1. The Shape of the Probability Mass Function

The PMF plots of the DT distribution for different parametric values are shown in Figure 1. The PMF of the suggested distribution may exhibit decreasing, bell-shaped, and unimodal (right-skewed) shapes, as seen in Figure 1. Furthermore, when θ is increased, the degree of asymmetry and peakedness of the PMF increases. The limiting behavior of DT distribution for various choices



Figure 1: *The shapes of PMF of DT distribution for various values of the parameter* θ *.*

of parameters at the boundary points is: (*i*). $\lim_{y\to\infty} p_y = 0$, (ii). $\lim_{\theta\to 1} p_y = 0$, (iii). $\lim_{\theta\to\infty} p_y = 1$, for y = 0 and $\lim_{\theta\to\infty} p_y = 0$, otherwise.

3.2. Recurrence Relation for Probabilities

The recursive relation shown below can be used to calculate probability mass for various values of *y*,

$$P[Y = y + 1] = \frac{\theta(\exp(-\theta^{(y+1)}) - \theta\exp(-\theta^{(y+2)}))}{(\exp(-\theta^{y}) - \theta\exp(-\theta^{(y+1)})} \cdot P[Y = y]$$

It can be easily verifiable that $[P_Y(y)]^2 \ge P_Y(y+1).P_Y(y-1)$ for all y. Hence, the DT distribution is log-concave. This concavity implies that the proposed distribution has a non-decreasing failure rate, strongly unimodal, remains log-concave if truncated and its all the moments exists. The convolution of the proposed model with any other discrete distribution is also unimodal and log-concave ([13],[10]).

3.3. Moments and related concepts

The moments of a probability distribution are important for measuring its different properties such as mean, variance, skewness, kurtosis, etc. The r^{th} raw moments $\omega_r^{/}$ of the DT distribution can be obtained by using the relation

$$\varpi_{r}^{/} = E(Y^{r}) = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} y^{r} p_{y}
= \exp(1) \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} \frac{(y^{r} - (y-1)^{r})\theta^{(k+1)y}}{\underline{k}}.$$
(7)

Using Equation (7), the first four raw moments of the DT distribution are

$$\omega_1' = E(Y) = \exp(1) \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|},$$
(8)

$$\omega_2^{\prime} = E(Y^2) = \exp(1) \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (2y-1) \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|},\tag{9}$$

$$\omega_3' = E(Y^3) = \exp(1) \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left(3y^2 - 3y + 1\right) \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{\underline{|k|}},\tag{10}$$

$$\omega_4' = E(Y^4) = \exp(1) \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left(4y^3 - 6y^2 + 4y - 1\right) \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|}.$$
 (11)

The variance of the DT distribution is

$$V(Y) = \exp(1) \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} (2y-1) \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|} - \left[\exp(1) \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|} \right]^{2}.$$

Using the raw moments in (8)-(11), we can easily find the skewness (Sk) and kurtosis (Kur) from the following relations

$$Sk = \frac{\omega_3' - 3\omega_2'\omega_1' + 2(\omega_1')^3}{(Var(Y))^{3/2}} \text{ and } Kur = \frac{\omega_4' - 4\omega_2'\omega_1' + 6\omega_2'(\omega_1')^2 - 3(\omega_1')^4}{(Var(Y))^2},$$

respectively.

The moment generating function (MGF) is an alternative representation of a probability distribution. It is an important tool to obtain various distributional characteristics. For the proposed model, it can be obtained as

$$M_{Y}(t) = E \left[\exp(ty) \right] = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \exp(ty) p_{y}$$

= 1 + \exp(1)\theta (\exp(t) - 1) \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\theta^{y}).(\theta \exp(t))^{y-1} .

The index of dispersion (IOD) is a technique for determining whether a data is equi-, under or over-dispersed. If the IOD>1(<1), it indicates the over-dispersion, (under-dispersion), while if IOD=1, it is equi-dispersed. In the case of the proposed model, the IOD is

$$IOD = \frac{Var(Y)}{E(Y)} = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (2y-1) \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{\underline{k}} - \exp(1) \left[\sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{\underline{k}} \right]^2}{\sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{\underline{k}}}.$$

The coefficient of variation (CV) is a relative measure of dispersion and is generally used to compare two independent samples based on their variability. The higher value of CV indicates higher variability. For DT distribution, the CV can be obtained as

$$CV = \frac{(Var(Y))^{1/2}}{E(Y)} = \frac{\left(\sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} (2y-1) \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|} - \exp(1) \left[\sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|}\right]^{2}\right)^{1/2}}{\sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} \frac{\theta^{(k+1)y}}{|\underline{k}|}}{|\underline{k}|}}.$$

It is not possible to get a closed-form of the above expressions, therefore, we use R software to demonstrate these characteristics numerically. Table 1 lists some numerical results of the mean, variance, skewness, kurtosis, IOD, and CV for the DT distribution under different setups of parametric values. From this table, it can be concluded that:

- The mean of the DT distribution decreases when the value of θ increases.
- From the observed values of skewness, we can conclude that the DT distribution can be used to model positively and negatively skewed data.
- The proposed model is appropriate for modelling leptokurtic and platykurtic datasets.
- The DT distribution can be used to analyze over-dispersed, under-dispersed, and equidispersed datasets.
- As the value of θ rises, the CV tends to increase.

Table 1:	Descriptive	measures	at	different	values	of the	parameter (θ.

Δ	Descriptive Measures					
0	Mean	Variance	Skewness	Kurtosis	IOD	CV
1.001	98.8320	8.2885	-20.5690	469.1044	0.0838	0.0291
1.005	94.5488	199.106	-3.6319	13.3240	2.1058	0.1492
1.010	81.4812	575.2569	-1.2399	0.4283	7.0599	0.2943
1.050	19.9959	81.0311	0.2090	-0.4210	4.0523	0.4501
1.100	9.9920	21.2957	0.2081	-0.4187	2.1312	0.4618
1.248	4.0301	4.0376	0.2035	-0.4075	1.0018	0.4985
1.750	1.2866	0.6969	0.1956	-0.4256	0.5416	0.6488
2.000	0.9422	0.4820	0.2086	-0.5048	0.5115	0.7368
2.500	0.5906	0.3074	0.2159	-0.9031	0.5205	0.9387

3.4. Entropy

Entropy is a crucial measure of complexity and uncertainty and is used in many fields including problems identification in statistics, statistical inference, physics, econometrics, and pattern recognition in computer science. One of the important entropy is Rényi entropy (RE) (see, [20]). For the DT distribution, the RE can be defined as ($\rho > 0, \rho \neq 1$)

$$I_{R}(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-\rho} \log \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} p_{y}^{\rho}$$

= $\frac{1}{1-\rho} \left(\rho + \log \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \theta^{\rho y} (\exp(-\theta^{y}) - \theta \exp(-\theta^{(y+1)}))^{\rho} \right)^{-1}$

Another famous entropy called Shannon entropy (ShE) can be obtained as a particular case of RE as $\rho \rightarrow 1$, where $ShE = -E[\log P(y; \alpha)]$.

3.5. Survival and hazard rate functions

The SF and hazard rate function (HRF) of the DT distribution is respectively given by,

$$S(y;\theta) = P(Y \ge y) = \theta^y \exp(1 - \theta^y); y = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

$$H(y;\theta) = P(Y = y | Y \ge y) = 1 - \theta \exp(\theta^{y} - \theta^{(y+1)}); y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Figure 2 depicts various plots of HRF of the proposed model. From the HRF plot, it is easily visible that the HRF of the DT distribution is increasing. Also, $\lim_{y\to\infty} H(y;\theta) = \lim_{\theta\to\infty} H(y;\theta) = \lim_{\theta\to\infty} H(y;\theta) = 1$. Moreover, the reversed hazard rate function (RHRF) and the second rate of failure (SRF) of the proposed model are

$$H^{*}(y;\theta) = P(Y = y | Y \le y) = \frac{\exp(1)\theta^{y}(\exp(-\theta^{y}) - \theta\exp(-\theta^{(y+1)}))}{1 - \theta^{y+1}\exp(1 - \theta^{(y+1)})}; y = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

and

$$H^{**}(y;\theta) = \log\left[\frac{S(y)}{S(y+1)}\right] = \theta^y(\theta-1) - \log\theta; y = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

respectively.

1



Figure 2: *The shapes of HRF of DT distribution for various values of the parameter* θ *.*

3.6. Mean residual lifetime and mean past lifetime function

The mean residual life (MRL) function is used extensively in a wide variety of areas, including reliability engineering, survival analysis, and biomedical research since it represents the ageing mechanism. It is well known that the MRL function characterizes the distribution function F uniquely since it contains all of the model's information. In discrete setup, the MRL, symbolized by m(i), can be defined as

$$m(i) = E(Y - i | Y \ge i) = \frac{1}{S(i)} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} S(j); \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If *Y* has DT distribution with parameter θ , then the MRL function of *Y* is

$$m(i) = \frac{1}{\theta^i \exp(-\theta^{i+1})} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \theta^j \exp(-\theta^{j+1}).$$

The expected inactivity time function or mean past life (MPL) function, denoted by $m^*(i)$, measures the time elapsed since the failure of X given that the system has failed sometime before

i'. It has many applications in a wide variety of areas, including reliability theory and survival analysis, actuarial research, and forensic science. In discrete setup, MPL function is defined as

$$m^*(i) = E(i - X | X < i) = \frac{1}{F(i - 1)} \sum_{k=1}^{i} F(k - 1); \ i = 1, 2, \dots$$

By replacing the CDF (6) in the expression of $m^*(i)$, we can easily obtain the MPL for the proposed model.

3.7. Stress-strength analysis

The stress-strength $(S - S^*)$ analysis is widely applicable in various areas including engineering, medical science, psychology etc. The probability of failure is based on the probability of *S* exceeding S^* . Suppose that the domain of *S* and S^* is positive, then the $S - S^*$ reliability (*R*) can be computed as

$$R = P[Y_S \le Y_{S^*}] = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} P_{Y_S}(y) S_{Y_{S^*}}.$$

If $Y_S \simeq DT(\theta_1)$ and $Y_{S^*} \simeq DT(\theta_2)$, then *R* can be expressed as

$$R = \theta_2 \exp(2) \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} (\theta_1 \theta_2)^y \exp(-\theta_2^{y+1}) \left(\exp(-\theta_1^y) - \theta_1 \exp(-\theta_1^{y+1}) \right).$$
(12)

Given the difficulty of obtaining an explicit expression for *R* in this instance, we show this feature quantitatively using the R software. Tables 2 illustrates the calculated values of *R* for various parameter combinations. From Table 2, we infer that for a fixed value of θ_2 , reliability increases as θ_1 increases, whereas for the particular value of θ_1 , $R \to 0$, as $\theta_2 \to \infty$.

Dar	amotor	θ_2							
1 ai	ameter	1.001	1.010	1.050	1.250	1.500			
	1.001	0.02093	0.00617	0.00024	0.00001	0.00000			
	1.010	0.98078	0.49681	0.02554	0.00100	0.00026			
θ_1	1.050	0.99974	0.97225	0.48476	0.02531	0.00636			
	1.250	0.99999	0.99855	0.96300	0.43096	0.13811			
	1.500	0.99999	0.99950	0.98739	0.73634	0.37738			

Table 2: The numerical values of R for fixed values of θ_1 and θ_2 .

3.8. Order statistics

The order statistics play a vital role in the construction of tolerance intervals for the distributions and drawing inferences on population parameters especially in survival analysis. Let $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$ be a random sample from the DT distribution. Also, let $Y_{(1)}, Y_{(2)}, ..., Y_{(n)}$ represents the corresponding order statistics. Then the CDF of the r^{th} order statistic say $W = Y_{(r)}$ is given by

$$F_{r}(w) = \sum_{i=r}^{n} {n \choose i} F^{i}(w) [1 - F(w)]^{n-i}$$

= $\sum_{i=r}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} (-1)^{k} {n \choose i} {n-i \choose k} (1 - \theta^{(w+1)} \exp(1 - \theta^{(w+1)}))^{i+k}.$ (13)

The corresponding PMF of r^{th} order statistics is

$$f_{r}(w) = F_{r}(w) - F_{r}(w-1) = \sum_{i=r}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{k} \left\{ (1-\theta^{w+1}\exp(1-\theta^{(w+1)}))^{i+k} - (1-\theta^{w}\exp(1-\theta^{w}))^{i+k} \right\}.$$
(14)

Particularly, by setting r = 1 and r = n in Equation (14), we can obtain the PMF of minimum $(\{Y_{(1)}, \ldots, Y_{(n)}\})$ and the PMF of maximum $(\{Y_{(1)}, \ldots, Y_{(n)}\})$, respectively.

3.9. Infinite divisibility

In this section, the property of infinite divisibility of the DT distribution is examined. This property is critical in the theorems of probability theory, modelling problems, and waiting time distribution. A probability distribution with PMF p_x , x = 0, 1, 2, ... is infinite divisible if $p_x \le e^{-1} \forall x = 1, 2, ...$ [24]. For DT distribution with $\theta = 2$, we observe that $p_1 = 0.5366$ which is greater than $e^{-1}(= 0.3679)$. Hence in general, DT distribution is not infinitely divisible. Further, since the classes of self-decomposition and stable distributions, in their discrete concepts, are subclasses of infinitely divisible distributions, therefore a DT distribution can neither be self-decomposable nor stable in general.

4. CLASSICAL ESTIMATION

In this section, we address the problem of estimation through well-known estimation procedures like method of maximum likelihood, method of moment estimation, ordinary and weighted least squares estimation. In maximum likelihood estimation, we also derived the asymptotic distribution of the ML estimator and construct the asymptotic confidence interval (ACI) for the unknown parameter.

4.1. Method of maximum likelihood

Let $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$ be a random sample of size *n* with mean \bar{y} , then the likelihood-function (LF) for DT distribution can be written as

$$L(\underline{y},\theta) = \exp(n)\theta^{n\overline{y}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\exp(-\theta^{y_i}) - \theta \exp(-\theta^{(y_i+1)})).$$
(15)

The log-likelihood (LL) function can be represented as

$$\log L(\underline{y},\theta) = n + n\bar{y}\log\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\log(\exp(-\theta^{y_i}) - \theta\exp(-\theta^{(y_i+1)})).$$
(16)

Taking the partial derivative of the LL function with respect to the parameter, we get the following normal-equation,

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n\bar{y}}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E_1 E_2 - y_i \theta^{y_i - 1}}{1 - \theta E_1} = 0, \tag{17}$$

where $E_1 = \exp(\theta^{y_i} - \theta^{y_i+1})$ and $E_2 = (y_i + 1) \theta^{y_i+1} - 1$.

The maximum likelihood (ML) estimator of θ can be found by simplifying Equation (17), but unfortunately, this equation does not yield an analytical solution. Therefore, we use an iterative approach such as Newton-Raphson (NR) to calculate the estimate computationally.

The ML estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ , is consistent and asymptotic Gaussian distribution with $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ follows $N(0, I^{-1}(\theta))$, where $I(\theta) = E\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2}\log f(y;\theta)\right)$. Therefore, the variance of the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ can be computed as $V(\hat{\theta}) \approx J^{-1}(\hat{\theta})$ where $J(\hat{\theta}) = -\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2}\right)\Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}$. The second-order partial derivative of the LL function is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1-\theta E_1) \left(-y_i (y_i-1) \theta^{y_i-1} + E_1 E_2 E_3 + (y_i+1)^2 \theta^{y_i+1} E_1\right) + (-y_i \theta^{y_i} + \theta E_1 E_2) (E_3+1) E_1}{\theta (1-\theta E_1)^2} - \frac{n \bar{y}}{\theta^2},$$

where $E_3 = \theta^{y_i} (y_i - (y_i + 1)\theta)$. Hence, the $100 \times (1 - \gamma)\%$ ACI for the parameter θ is $\hat{\theta} \mp Z_{\gamma/2} \sqrt{V(\hat{\theta})}$, here $Z_{\gamma/2}$ is the upper $\gamma/2$ quantile of the standard Gaussian distribution.

4.2. Method of moment estimation

In this estimation process, firstly, we equate population moment(s) to the corresponding sample moment(s) and then solve this equation for the unknown parameter(s). In our case, the concerned equation is

$$\bar{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta^i \exp(1 - \theta^i).$$
(18)

where \bar{y} represents the mean based on the RS $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$ drawn from the DT distribution (5). We can obtain the method of moment (MOM) estimator $\hat{\theta}_{MOM}$, by solving Equation (18) for θ . Since Equation (18) does not provide the MOM estimator of θ in explicit form, so we can use numerical methods to compute $\hat{\theta}_{MOM}$.

4.3. Method of least squares estimation

Here, we present the regression-based estimation methods for estimating the model parameter. These approaches are known as the ordinary least square (OLS) and the weighted least square (WLS) estimators, and they were first suggested by [25]. The OLS and WLS estimators depend on the combination of the non-parametric and parametric distribution functions.

This method is widely used to estimate the parameters of a continuous model. Some authors utilize this technique to estimate the unknowns of a discrete model by considering the non-parametric CDF as a continuous type (see, [23]). Because discrete data is made up of ties observations, a non-parametric CDF that takes ties observations into account is more suited. In view of this, we use a different form of non-parametric CDF that relies on observation of relations. These methods can be described as follows:

Let $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$ be a random sample from F(.) in Equation (6), and $Y_{(1)} \le Y_{(2)} \le ..., \le Y_{(n)}$ be the corresponding ordered values having r tie-runs with the length z_j for the j^{th} one, j = 1, 2, ..., r, then the mean and variance of $F(Y_{(i)})$ are respectively as

$$E\left[F(Y_{(i)})\right] = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{n_j - z_j}{n_j} \text{ and } V\left[F(Y_{(i)})\right] = \left(1 - F(Y_{(i)})\right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{z_j}{n_j(n_j - z_j)}.$$

The $V[F(X_{(i)})]$ is known as Greenwood's formula. The OLS and WLS estimators of the unknown parameter can be obtained by minimizing

$$W_{1}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(F(Y_{(i)}) - E\left[F(Y_{(i)})\right] \right)^{2} \text{ and } W_{2}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V\left[F(Y_{(i)})\right]^{-1} \left(F(Y_{(i)}) - E\left[F(Y_{(i)})\right] \right)^{2},$$

respectively, with respect to the unknown parameter of the model.

Thus, in our case, the OLS estimator of the unknown parameter θ say $\hat{\theta}_{OLS}$ can be achieved by minimizing

$$W_1(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\theta^{y_i+1} \exp(1-\theta^{(y_i+1)}) - \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{n_j - z_j}{n_j} \right)^2,$$

with respect to θ . Evenly, $\hat{\theta}_{OLS}$ can be determined by solving

$$\frac{\partial W_1(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\theta^{y_i+1} \exp(1-\theta^{(y_i+1)}) - \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{n_j-z_j}{n_j} \right] \xi(y_{i:n};\theta) = 0$$

where $\xi(y_i; \theta) = (y_i + 1)\theta^{y_i}(1 - \theta^{y_i+1}) \exp(1 - \theta^{(y_i+1)})$. The WLS estimator of θ , say $\hat{\theta}_{WLS}$, can be achieved by minimizing

$$W_{2}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V \Big[F(Y_{(i)}) \Big]^{-1} \left(\theta^{y_{i}+1} \exp(1-\theta^{(y_{i}+1)}) - \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{n_{j}-z_{j}}{n_{j}} \right)^{2}.$$

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_{WLS}$ can also be obtained by simplifying the following equation

$$\frac{\partial W_2(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n V \left[F(Y_{(i)}) \right]^{-1} \left[\theta^{y_i+1} \exp(1-\theta^{(y_i+1)}) - \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{n_j-z_j}{n_j} \right] \xi(y_{i:n};\alpha) = 0.$$

5. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATION

Here, we present the numerical illustrations of the proposed model based on the empirical and real datasets.

5.1. Using simulated data

In this sub-section, we observe the performance of different estimation techniques to estimate the unknown parameter of the proposed model. This assessment consists of the following steps:

1. Generate 2000 samples of sizes n = 20, 25, ..., 150 from DT distribution with $\theta = 1.05, 1.5$, and 3.0. To generate the required RV *Y* from DT distribution we have used the general approach in which first we draw the pseudo-random value *X* from continuous Teissier distribution and then discretize this value to obtain *Y*. The following formula can be used to generate an RV *X*,

$$Q(u) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left[-W_{-1} \left(\frac{u-1}{\exp(1)} \right) \right]; \ 0 < u < 1,$$

where $\theta = \exp(\alpha)$ and W_{-1} denotes the Lambert function and its value can be easily obtained by the inbuilt R-function *lambertWm*1 available in the package *lamW*.

- 2. Compute the ML, MOM, OLS, and WLS estimates for the 2000 samples, say $\hat{\theta}_{\varphi}^{j}$; $j = 1, 2, ..., 2000; \varphi = ML$, MOM, OLS, and WLS. Also, we have computed the 95% ACI intervals for the above-generated samples.
- 3. Compute the mean-squared error (MSE) and average absolute bias (AB) for all point estimates, average width (AW) and coverage probability (CP), where

$$MSE = \frac{1}{2000} \sum_{j=1}^{2000} \left(\hat{\theta}_{\varphi}^{j} - \theta \right)^{2}, AB = \frac{1}{2000} \sum_{j=1}^{2000} \left| \hat{\theta}_{\varphi}^{j} - \theta \right|, AW = \frac{1}{2000} \sum_{j=1}^{2000} (UCL^{j} - LCL^{j}), \text{ and}$$

$$CP = \frac{1}{2000} \sum_{j=1}^{2000} I(LCL^{j} < \theta < UCL^{j})$$
, here, UCL^{j} and LCL^{j} denotes the upper and lower

confidence limits for the j^{th} sample, respectively, and $I(\bullet)$ is the indicator function takes value 1, if $LCL^j < \theta < UCL^j$, and 0 otherwise.

4. The empirical results are shown in Figures 3-4.

From Figures 3-4, the following key conclusions can be made:

- The MSE decrease to zero as *n* tends to infinity. This shows the consistency of the estimators. Also, the AB decrease to zero as *n* becomes large.
- All the estimation procedures perform satisfactorily for different values of n and θ . However, the ML estimator works superior to other classical procedures with respect to MSE. The MOM estimator is the second choice of estimation since the MSE of these estimates is lesser than those obtained for OLS and WLS estimators.

- The AW of the ACI intervals decreases as we increase the sample size *n*.
- Here, the CP in the simulation of ACI intervals remains near about nominal value, this validates our simulation results.
- For the small value of the parameter θ, all estimation procedures work better as compare to the large value of θ. Also, as n becomes large, the considered estimation methods produce more or less similar results with respect to the MSE and AB.



Figure 3: The MSEs and ABs of different estimators for (i) $\theta = 1.05$ (ii) $\theta = 1.50$ (iii) $\theta = 3.0$.

5.2. The real data application

In this part, we use two real datasets to demonstrate the relevance and superiority of the DT distribution. The two datasets are from two distinct areas, with the first representing daily COVID-19 cases in India and the second one consists survival times of a group of laboratory mice. The fitting capability of the proposed model has been compared to that of various well-known conventional and recently developed models. Table 3 has a list of the competitive models.



Figure 4: *AW and CP for (i)* θ =1.05 *(ii)* θ =1.50 *(iii)* θ =3.0.

Model	Parameter(s)	Abbreviation	References
Geometric	θ	Geo	-
Discrete Lindley	α	DsLi	[9]
Discrete Rayleigh	θ	DR	[22]
Discrete Poisson Lindley	α	DPL	[18]
Discrete Burr	(α, β)	DBr	[12]
Discrete Pareto	θ	DPa	[12]
Two Parameter Discrete Half Logistic	(α, β)	DHLo-II	[8]
Discrete Perks	(α, β)	DP	[28]
Discrete Weibull	(<i>q</i> , β)	DW	[19]
Discrete Logistic	(α, β)	DLOG	[4]
A Flexible discrete model with one parameter	α	DsFx-I	[5]
Poisson Bilal distribution	θ	PB	[2]

Table 3: The competitive models.

For comparison purposes, the estimation of the fitted models has been done through ML estimation. The model comparison is carried out based on –LL, Akaike information criterion (AIC), corrected Akaike information criterion (CAIC), Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) statistics using the open-source R software. However, there is an another refined approach to find K-S statistics for detail see [17],[15], and [16]. Here, the lower value of these criteria except the p-value and the higher p-value indicates the best fit.

The first dataset (I): In the first application, we consider the daily new cases in India from 16 March 2021 to 08 April 2021. The data is available at https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus / country/india-sar/. The original data values are

28869, 35838, 39643, 40950, 43815, 40611, 47264, 53419, 59069, 62291, 62631, 68206, 56119, 53158, 72182, 81441, 89019, 92998, 103793, 96557, 115269, 126315, 131893, 14482.

This dataset is modelled with DT and other competitive models. For ease of fitting, data have been divided by 10,000 and their floor values have been stored. Table 4 contains the estimated parameters and their corresponding standard errors (SEs) as well as the various fitting measures discussed earlier. From Table 4, we conclude that the DT model is the best-performed model among others since it has the lowest values of AIC, BIC, CAIC, HQIC, and K-S test statistics with the highest p-value. We have plotted the –LL and CDF plots in Figure 5 (upper left and upper right panel). This figure not only confirms the unique existence of the ML estimate but also portrays that the fitted CDF closely follow the pattern of the empirical CDF for the considered data.

Model	ML estimate(SE)	-LL	AIC	BIC	CAIC	K-S	P-value
DT	1.1447 (0.0121)	61.6498	125.2997	126.4778	125.4815	0.12640	0.8374
DW	0.0035(0.0034), 2.5990 (0.4083)	61.1957	126.3914	128.7475	126.9628	0.12669	0.7907
DR	0.9844(0.0031)	61.8800	125.76	126.9381	125.9418	0.15186	0.6373
DP	0.0252(0.0208), 0.5020(0.0974)	62.2001	128.4003	130.7564	128.9717	0.13958	0.6869
DLOG	0.5860(0.05317), 7.4515(0.6792)	62.7109	129.4219	131.778	129.9934	0.13598	0.7166
PB	0.1136(0.0187)	67.2632	136.5266	137.7046	136.7084	0.30641	0.0169
DsLi	0.7920(0.0269)	67.8623	137.7246	138.9027	137.9064	0.31724	0.0120
DPL	0.2460(0.0396)	68.7832	139.5665	140.7445	139.7483	0.32841	0.0083
DHLo-II	0.8548(0.0316), 0.7729(0.0626)	69.1321	142.2644	144.6205	142.8358	0.35068	0.0038
DsFx-I	0.9020(0.0167)	71.0351	144.0703	145.2484	144.2521	0.81633	< 0.0001
Geo	0.8716(0.0244)	71.6627	145.3255	146.5035	145.5073	0.29772	0.0284
DB	0.9261(0.0709), 6.6364(6.4802)	87.3628	178.7273	181.0834	179.2987	0.74374	< 0.0001
DPa	0.6217(0.0603)	92.3961	186.7923	187.9703	186.9741	0.72802	< 0.0001

Table 4: The ML estimate (SE) and various goodness of fit measures under dataset I.

Table 5 consists of ML, MOM, OLS, and WLS estimates with their SEs and 95% ACI intervals for θ . To compare different methods, the K-S statistics with associated p-values for all methods are also provided in Table 5. From Table 5, we can easily observe that all estimation methods perform quite satisfactorily as the p-values associated with K-S statistics is greater than 0.05.

Method	Estimate	SE	K-S	P-value	ACI
ML estimate	1.1447	0.0121	0.1264	0.8374	[1.1209, 1.1683]
MOM	1.1372	0.0367	0.1544	0.6162	-
OLS	1.1561	0.0392	0.1633	0.5440	-
WLS	1.1561	0.0392	0.1633	0.5439	-

Table 5: The different estimates, SE, and K-S with p-value under dataset I.

The second dataset (II): This dataset gives the survival times of a group of laboratory mice, which were exposed to a fixed dose of radiation at an age of 5 to 6 weeks [see, [14], pp. 445]. This group of mice lived in a conventional lab environment. The cause of death for each mouse was assigned after autopsy to be one of three things: thymic lymphoma (C1), reticulum cell sarcoma (C2), or other causes (C3). Here, we have used the dataset under C3 only. The mice are all died by the end of the experiment, so there is no censoring. The data values are:

40, 42, 51, 62, 163, 179, 206, 222, 228, 252, 259, 282, 324, 333, 341, 366, 385, 407, 420, 431, 441, 461, 462, 482, 517, 517, 524, 564, 567, 586, 619, 620, 621, 622, 647, 651, 686, 761, 763.

The above dataset is modelled with DT and DW, DR, PB, DsLi, DPL, Geo, DB, DPa models. The estimated parameters and other fitting measures are reported in Table 6. From the outcomes of Table 6, we conclude that the DT distribution is the best choice among other competitive models since it has the lowest values of –LL, AIC, BIC, CAIC, HQIC, and K-S statistics with the highest P-value. Figure 5 (lower left and lower right panel) also depicts that DT distribution has a unique ML estimate for the given data and it is well enough to model this data.

Model	ML estimate (SE)	-LL	AIC	BIC	CAIC	K-S	P-Value
DT	1.0024(0.0002)	262.0291	526.0581	527.7217	526.1663	0.0907	0.9049
DW	0.9999 (3.548e-07), 2.0772 (0.0318)	263.1519	530.3039	533.6310	530.6372	0.1008	0.8223
DR	0.9999 (5.874e-07)	263.1909	528.3818	530.0454	528.4899	0.1080	0.7525
PB	0.0020(0.0002)	267.1738	536.3476	538.0112	536.4557	0.1597	0.2723
DsLi	0.9951(0.0005)	266.9048	535.8097	537.4733	535.9178	0.1587	0.2797
DPL	0.0048(0.0005)	266.9121	535.8242	537.4878	535.9323	0.1588	0.2786
Geo	0.9975(0.0004)	273.9544	549.9088	551.5723	550.0169	0.2385	0.0236
DB	0.9282(0.0621), 2.3077(2.0575)	334.6387	673.2775	676.6046	673.6108	0.6803	< 0.0001
DPa	0.8422(0.0231)	334.8421	671.6843	673.3478	671.7924	0.6801	< 0.0001

Table 6: The ML estimate (SE) and various goodness of fit measures under dataset II.

Table 7 displays the ML, MOM, OLS, and WLS estimates with their SEs and 95% ACI intervals for θ . This table also contains the K-S statistics with associated p-values for all considered methods. From Table 7, we can easily observe that all estimation methods perform quite satisfactorily as the p-values associated with K-S statistics are greater than 0.05.

Table 7:	The different	estimates. S	SE and K-S	with v-v	value under	dataset II.
	1110 111/1010111	<i>commee</i>) <i>c</i>		correp o		

Method	Estimate	SE	K-S	P-Value	ACI
ML estimate	1.0024	0.0002	0.0907	0.9049	[1.0020, 1.0027]
MOM	1.0096	0.0022	0.2047	0.0759	-
OLS	1.0024	0.0004	0.0909	0.9034	-
WLS	1.0024	0.0004	0.0909	0.9034	-



Figure 5: The –LL and CDFs plots for dataset I and II.

6. CONCLUSION

In this article, a new one-parameter discrete Teissier distribution is obtained. It is observed that with one parameter, this model has great flexibility in terms of fitting as it is capable of modelling equi-, over and under-dispersed datasets. It is also capable of the modelling of positively, negatively skewed and increasing failure datasets. In this article, various important distributional properties of DT distribution are discussed.

The unknown parameters of the proposed model are estimated under the various classical methods. An extensive simulation study is presented for the assessment of the various estimators under count data. Finally, the fitting capability of the proposed model for count data is illustrated using two real datasets. Hence, we can conclude that the suggested model may be used as an alternative model to some well-known existing models to analyze discrete data generated from various domains.

A future plan of action regarding the current study might be an examination of the censored data using the proposed model. We may investigate the load share model where the component failure time follows the DT distribution. The stress-strength parameter may also be examined using various censored data. In addition, a bivariate extension of the DT distribution can be developed.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the editor and an anonymous referee for their careful reading of the manuscript and constructive suggestions, which significantly improved the earlier version of the manuscript. The last author is thankful to the Department of Science and Technology, India for providing financial aid for the research work under the Inspire Fellowship Program vide letter number DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2017/IF170038.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Alamatsaz, M. H., Dey, S., Dey, T., & Harandi, S. S. (2016). Discrete generalized Rayleigh distribution. Pakistan Journal of Statistics, 32(1).
- [2] Altun, E. (2020). A new one-parameter discrete distribution with associated regression and integer-valued autoregressive models. Mathematica Slovaca, 70(4), 979-994.
- [3] Chakraborty, S. (2015). Generating discrete analogues of continuous probability distributions-A survey of methods and constructions. Journal of Statistical Distributions and Applications, 2(1), 6.
- [4] Chakraborty, S., & Chakravarty, D. (2016). A new discrete probability distribution with integer support on (-∞,∞). Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 45(2), 492-505.
- [5] Eliwa, M. S., & El-Morshedy, M. (2021). A one-parameter discrete distribution for overdispersed data: statistical and reliability properties with applications. Journal of Applied Statistics, 1-21.
- [6] Eliwa, M. S., Alhussain, Z. A., & El-Morshedy, M. (2020). Discrete Gompertz-G family of distributions for over-and under-dispersed data with properties, estimation, and applications. Mathematics, 8(3), 358.
- [7] El-Morshedy, M., Eliwa, M. S., & Tyagi, A. (2021a). A discrete analogue of odd Weibull-G family of distributions: properties, classical and Bayesian estimation with applications to count data. Journal of Applied Statistics, 1-25.
- [8] El-Morshedy, M., Alizadeh, M., Al-Bossly, A.,& Eliwa, M. S. (2021b). A Probability Mass Function for Various Shapes of the Failure Rates, Asymmetric and Dispersed Data with Applications to Coronavirus and Kidney Dysmorphogenesis. Symmetry, 13(10), 1790.
- [9] Gómez-Déniz, E., & Calderín-Ojeda, E. (2011). The discrete Lindley distribution: properties and applications. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 81(11), 1405-1416.

- [10] Gupta, P. L., Gupta, R. C., and Tripathi, R. C. (1997). On the monotonic properties of discrete failure rates. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, 65(2), 255-268.
- [11] Jayakumar, K., & Babu, M. G. (2018). Discrete Weibull geometric distribution and its properties. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 47(7), 1767-1783.
- [12] Krishna, H., & Pundir, P. S. (2009). Discrete Burr and discrete Pareto distributions. Statistical Methodology, 6(2), 177-188.
- [13] Keilson, J., and Gerber, H. (1971). Some results for discrete unimodality. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 66(334), 386-389.
- [14] Lawless, J. F. (2003). Statistical models and methods for lifetime data (Vol. 362). John Wiley & Sons.
- [15] Lemeshko, B.Yu., Lemeshko, S.B. and Postovalov S.N. (2010) Statistic Distribution Models for Some Nonparametric Goodness-of-Fit Tests in Testing Composite Hypotheses.Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 39: 460-471. DOI: 10.1080/03610920903140148
- [16] Lemeshko, B.Yu., Lemeshko, S.B. (2011) Models of Statistic Distributions of Nonparametric Goodness-of-Fit Tests in Composite Hypotheses Testing for Double Exponential Law Cases. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 40:2879-2892. DOI: 10.1080/03610926.2011.562770
- [17] Lemeshko B.Yu., Postovalov S.N. (2001) Application of the nonparametric goodness-of-fit Tests in testing composite hypotheses. Optoelectronics, Instrumentation and Data Processing. No.2. - P. 76-88.
- [18] Sankaran, M. (1970). "The discrete Poisson-Lindley distribution", Biometrics., vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 145-149.
- [19] Nakagawa, T., & Osaki, S. (1975). The discrete Weibull distribution. IEEE transactions on reliability, 24(5), 300-301.
- [20] Renyi, A. (1961). On measures of entropy and information. Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 1, 547-561.
- [21] Roy, D. (2003). The discrete normal distribution. Commun. Statist. Theor. Meth. 32(10):1871–1883.
- [22] Roy, D. (2004). Discrete Rayleigh distribution. IEEE Trans. Reliab. 53:255–260.
- [23] Shafqat, M., Ali, S., Shah, I., & Dey, S. (2020). Univariate Discrete Nadarajah and Haghighi Distribution: Properties and Different Methods of Estimation. Statistica, 80(3), 301-330.
- [24] Steutel, F.W. & van Harn, K. (2004). Infinite Divisibility of Probability Distributions on the Real Line. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- [25] Swain, J. J., Venkatraman, S., & Wilson, J. R. (1988). Least-squares estimation of distribution functions in Johnson's translation system. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 29(4), 271-297.
- [26] Sharma, V. K., Singh, S. V., & Shekhawat, K. (2020). Exponentiated Teissier distribution with increasing, decreasing and bathtub hazard functions. Journal of Applied Statistics, 1-23.
- [27] Teissier, G. (1934). Recherches sur le vieillissement et sur les lois de la mortalit?. Annales de physiologie et de physicochimie biologique, 10(2), 237-284.
- [28] Tyagi, A., Choudhary, N., & Singh, B. (2020). A new discrete distribution: Theory and applications to discrete failure lifetime and count data. J. Appl. Probab. Statist, 15, 117-143.
- [29] Tyagi, A., Choudhary, N., and Singh, B. (2019). Discrete additive Perks-Weibull distribution: Properties and applications. Life Cycle Reliability and Safety Engineering, 8(3), 183-199.