NOVEL DISTRIBUTION FOR MODELING UNCENSORED AND CENSORED SURVIVAL TIME DATA AND REGRESSION MODEL

Adubisi O. D.¹

Adubisi C. E.²

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Federal University Wukari, Nigeria. ²Department of Physics, University of Ilorin, Nigeria.

adubisiobinna@fuwukari.edu.ng¹

chidiadubisi@gmail.com²

Abstract

This work proposes a new one-parameter model titled the type II Topp Leone half logistic (TIITL_{HL}) model which is characterized by an increasing and decreasing hazard rate function quite dependent on the shape parameter. Some structural properties and basic functions used in reliability analysis are derived. Simulations are carried out for both uncensored and censored samples. The uncensored simulation results indicated that the estimators perform quite well in producing good parameter estimates at finite sample sizes. However, the Anderson Darling estimator (ADE) average estimate tend to the true parameter value faster than other methods with minimum bias. More so, simulation based on censored samples using different censoring proportions showed that the bias, MSE and MRE values decrease as the sample size increases for the different censoring proportions. Two uncensored and censored datasets from the medical and environmental sciences were analysed to show the relevance, flexibility and adaptability of the TIITL_{HL} model, and the new model achieved the best performance when compared with six other competing lifetime models. In addition, the log-TIITL_{HL} regression model constructed and compared with two existing models showed that this model will be a useful option in survival investigation.

Keywords: Half-logistic distribution, Classical estimation methods, Monte-Carlo simulation, type II censoring, Type-II-Topp-Leone-G class

1. INTRODUCTION

The standard half-Logistic (HL) model pioneered by [1] has gained a lot of popularity as a significant model given its extensive applicability in lifetime modeling and reliability analysis. The cumulative density function (CDF) of the standard HL model is

$$G(k) = \frac{1 - e^{-k}}{1 + e^{-k}}, k > 0,$$
(1)

and the corresponding probability density function (PDF) to (??) is

$$g(k) = \frac{2e^{-k}}{(1+e^{-k})^2}, k > 0,$$
(2)

Several authors have pioneered various extensions of the HL model such as the type I HL family of distributions by [2], inverse HL model by [3], Poisson HL model by [4], type II HL family of

distributions by [5], Kumaraswamy HL model by [6], extended HL model by [7], Transmuted HL model by [8], new type I HL model by [9], odd Lindley HL model by [10], weighted HL model by [11], modified HL model by [12], Poisson-logarithmic HL model by [13], extended type I HL family of distributions by [14] and Gamma power HL model by [15].

The type II Topp Leone-G (TIITL-G) class of distributions was pioneered by [16]. The TIITL-G class has just one parameter which imply that the proposed extended HL model in this study will have a single shape parameter. The CDF and PDF of the TIITL-G class are

$$F(k) = 1 - \left[1 - G^{2}(k)\right]^{\tau},$$
(3)

and

$$f(k) = 2\tau g(k) G(k) \left[1 - G^2(k) \right]^{\tau - 1},$$
(4)

where $\tau > 0$ is a shape parameter, G(k) and g(k) are considered as the CDF and PDF of the baseline model. The novelty of this study is the creation of a new one-parameter lifetime model titled the TIITL_{HL} model, investigation of six different estimation methods for the new model with applicability to uncensored and censored survival time datasets, and introduction of a new log-TIITL_{HL} regression model for analysing censored response variable.

The remaining parts are outlined like this: Part 2 introduces the CDF and PDF of the TIITL_{HL} model. Part 3 presents reliability analysis and several important structural properties of the TIITL_{HL} model. Six classical estimation approaches are discussed in Part 4 to appreciate the parameters of the TIITL_{HL} model for uncensored sample. The maximum likelihood estimator based on the type-II right censored scheme is presented in Part 5. The finite sample performance of the TIITL_{HL} estimators is presented in Part 6 using Monte Carlo experiments. Part 7 deals with a new TIITL_{HL} regression model. The applications and empirical results are presented in Part 8. Finally, Part 9 presents the conclusion.

2. Model Genesis

This part introduces a new one-parameter model called the TIITL_{HL} model by inserting Eqs (1) and (2) into Eqs (3) and (4), then the CDF, PDF, survival function and hazard rate function (HRF) of the TIITL_{HL} model are

$$F(k,\tau) = 1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k}}{1 + e^{-k}}\right)^2\right]^{\tau}, k > 0, \tau > 0,$$
(5)

$$f(k,\tau) = \frac{4\tau e^{-k}}{(1+e^{-k})^2} \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right)^2\right]^{\tau-1}, k > 0, \tau > 0,$$
(6)

Figure 1 depicts the graphical shapes of the TIITL_{HL} density function (PDF) with selected values for τ . The density function (PDF) is uni-modal, right-skewness, and heavy-tailed. The survival (Reliability) function (SF) and hazard (failure) rate (HRF) of the TIITL_{HL} model, take the forms

$$S(k,\tau) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k}}{1 + e^{-k}}\right)^2\right]^{\tau},$$
(7)

and

$$h(k,\tau) = \frac{4\tau e^{-k}}{(1+e^{-k})^2} \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right)^2\right]^{-1},$$
(8)

More so, the reversed HRF of the TIITL_{HL} model is



Figure 1: *The density function (PDF) plots of the* $TIITL_{HL}$ *model.*

$$r(k,\tau) = \frac{4\tau e^{-k}}{(1+e^{-k})^2} \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right)^2\right]^{\tau-1} \left\{1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right)^2\right]^{\tau}\right\}^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

and the cumulative HRF takes the form

$$H(k,\tau) = -\tau \log\left[1 - \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k}}{1 + e^{-k}}\right)^2\right].$$
 (10)

The graphical shapes of the HRF for TIITL_{HL} model with various selected values of τ are depicted in Figure 2. The model is characterized by an increasing-decreasing HRF.

3. STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES

This part describes the statistical properties of the $TIITL_{HL}$ model..

3.1. Quantile function, Bowley's skewness and Moor's kurtosis

If the random variable (r.v) $K \sim \text{TIITL}_{\text{HL}}(\tau)$, then the quantile function by inverting Eq (5) takes the form

$$k = -\log\left\{\frac{1 - [1 - (1 - u)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + [1 - (1 - u)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\},\tag{11}$$

where $u \sim uniform(0,1)$. By setting u = 0.5 in Eq (11), the median (M) of the TIITL_{HL} model takes the form



Figure 2: Survival function plot (a), hazard rate function plot (b), reversed HRF plot (c) and cumulative HRF plot (d) of the TIITL_{HL} model.

$$k = -\log\left\{\frac{1 - [1 - (0.5)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + [1 - (0.5)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}.$$
(12)

The Bowley's skewness [17] and Moor's kurtosis [18] are found using the following expressions, respectively.

$$S_{k} = \frac{Q\left(\frac{3}{4};\tau\right) - 2Q\left(\frac{1}{2};\tau\right) + Q\left(\frac{1}{4};\tau\right)}{Q\left(\frac{3}{4};\tau\right) - Q\left(\frac{1}{4};\tau\right)}.$$
(13)

$$K_{u} = \frac{Q\left(\frac{7}{8};\tau\right) - Q\left(\frac{5}{8};\tau\right) - Q\left(\frac{3}{8};\tau\right) + Q\left(\frac{1}{8};\tau\right)}{Q\left(\frac{6}{8};\tau\right) - Q\left(\frac{2}{8};\tau\right)}.$$
(14)

where Q(.) is the quantile function.

3.2. Dispersion index and Coefficient of variation

The dispersion index (DI) tells when a model is suitable for modeling equi-dispersed (DI = 1), under-dispersed (DI < 1) and over-dispersed (DI > 1). The coefficient of variation (CV) is a relative measure of variability and a high CV value shows higher variability. The expressions for the DI and CV functions are

$$DI = \frac{Var(X)}{E(X)} = \frac{\frac{Q(\frac{3}{4};\tau) - Q(\frac{1}{4};\tau)}{1.35}}{\frac{Q(\frac{3}{4};\tau) + Q(\frac{1}{2};\tau) + Q(\frac{1}{4};\tau)}{3}}.$$
(15)

and

$$CV = \frac{(Var(X))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E(X)} = \frac{\left(\frac{Q(\frac{3}{4};\tau) - Q(\frac{1}{4};\tau)}{1.35}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{Q(\frac{3}{4};\tau) + Q(\frac{1}{2};\tau) + Q(\frac{1}{4};\tau)}{3}}.$$
(16)

where Q(.) is the quantile function.

Table 1 reports the numerical values of the mean (ME), variance (VAR), standard deviation (STD), median (M), skewness (S_k), kurtosis (K_u), Dispersion index (DI) and Coefficient of variation (CV) for the TIITL_{HL} model using selected values of τ .

Table 1: The numerical values of ME, VAR, STD, M, S_k , K_u , DI and CV

| τ | ME | VAR | STD | М | S_k | Ku | DI | CV |
|-----|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0.2 | 5.282 | 17.356 | 4.166 | 4.836 | 0.238 | 0.629 | 3.286 | 0.789 |
| 0.5 | 2.784 | 3.531 | 1.879 | 2.634 | 0.177 | 0.484 | 1.268 | 0.675 |
| 1.0 | 1.832 | 1.293 | 1.137 | 1.763 | 0.135 | 0.370 | 0.706 | 0.621 |
| 1.5 | 1.459 | 0.769 | 0.877 | 1.412 | 0.117 | 0.321 | 0.527 | 0.601 |
| 2.0 | 1.247 | 0.543 | 0.737 | 1.212 | 0.108 | 0.294 | 0.436 | 0.591 |
| 2.5 | 1.107 | 0.419 | 0.647 | 1.078 | 0.102 | 0.277 | 0.378 | 0.584 |
| 3.0 | 1.006 | 0.341 | 0.584 | 0.980 | 0.097 | 0.266 | 0.339 | 0.581 |
| 3.5 | 0.928 | 0.287 | 0.536 | 0.905 | 0.095 | 0.257 | 0.310 | 0.578 |
| 4.0 | 0.865 | 0.248 | 0.498 | 0.845 | 0.092 | 0.251 | 0.287 | 0.576 |
| 4.5 | 0.814 | 0.218 | 0.467 | 0.795 | 0.091 | 0.246 | 0.268 | 0.574 |
| 5.0 | 0.771 | 0.194 | 0.441 | 0.753 | 0.089 | 0.242 | 0.252 | 0.572 |

The ME, STD, S_k and K_u values of the TIITL_{HL} model decrease as the selected values of τ increase. The TIITL_{HL} model is positively skewed and beneficial for over-and-under dispersed datasets. Figure 3 depict the plots of the ME, VAR, S_k and K_u of the TIITL_{HL} for selected values of τ and support the conclusion reached using Table 1.

3.3. Moments and Moment generating function

The r^{th} raw moment of the $\ensuremath{\text{TIITL}_{\text{HL}}}$ model is given as

$$\mu_r' = \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b,c=0}^{\infty} \vartheta_{a,b,c} \left(b + c + 1 \right)^{-r-1} \Gamma\left(r + 1 \right).$$
(17)

Proof. The r^{th} raw moment of the $TIITL_{HL}$ model is found using

$$\mu_{r}' = \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{r} f(k;\tau) dk,$$

$$= 4\tau \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{r} \frac{e^{-k}}{(1+e^{-k})^{2}} \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right)^{2}\right]^{\tau-1} dk,$$
(18)

By utilising Taylor series expansions in Eq (??), we have

$$\mu'_{r} = \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b,c=0}^{\infty} \vartheta_{a,b,c} \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{r} e^{-k(b+c+1)} dk,$$
(19)

where $\vartheta_{a,b,c} = 4\tau (-1)^{a+b+c} \begin{pmatrix} \tau - 1 \\ a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2a+1 \\ b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2(1+a)-1 \\ c \end{pmatrix}$. Let $z = k (b+c+1) \Rightarrow k = \frac{z}{(b+c+1)}$,



Figure 3: The Mean, Variance, skewness and kurtosis plots of the $TIITL_{HL}$ model.

 $\frac{dk}{dz} = \frac{1}{(b+c+1)} \Rightarrow dk = \frac{dz}{(b+c+1)}.$ Hence,

$$\mu'_{r} = \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b,c=0}^{\infty} \vartheta_{a,b,c} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{(b+c+1)}\right)^{r} e^{-z} \frac{dz}{(b+c+1)},$$
(20)

$$\mu_r' = \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b,c=0}^{\infty} \vartheta_{a,b,c} \left(b+c+1\right)^{-r-1} \int_0^\infty z^r e^{-z} dz,\tag{21}$$

By utilising the gamma integral function $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \int_0^\infty z^\alpha e^{-z} dz$. The rth raw moments of the TIITL_{HL} model takes the form

$$\mu_r' = \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b,c=0}^{\infty} \vartheta_{a,b,c} \left(b + c + 1 \right)^{-r-1} \Gamma\left(r + 1 \right).$$
(22)

The first four moments are found by inserting r = 1, 2, 3, 4 into Eq (22), respectively. The moment generating function (MGF) of the TIITL_{HL} model is given as

$$M_{K}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b,c=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{r} \vartheta_{a,b,c}}{r!} \left(b+c+1\right)^{-r-1} \Gamma\left(r+1\right),$$
(23)

Proof.

The MGF of the TIITL_{HL} model, say $M_{K}(t)$ is found using

$$M_{K}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{tk}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{r}}{r!} \int_{0}^{\infty} K^{r} f(k;\tau) \, dk = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{r}}{r!} \mu_{r}^{\prime}, \tag{24}$$

By inserting Eq (7) into Eq (24), the MGF takes the form

$$M_K(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b,c=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^r \vartheta_{a,b,c}}{r!} \left(b + c + 1\right)^{-r-1} \Gamma\left(r+1\right).$$
(25)

3.4. Order statistics

If $k_1, k_2, ..., k_n$ be a random sample from the TIITL_{HL} model with $k_{1:n} < k_{2:n} < ... < k_{n:n}$ as the order statistics (O.S). The pdf of the pth O.S of the TIITL_{HL} model is

$$f_{p:n}(k) = \frac{4\tau e^{-k} (1+e^{-k})^{-2}(\varphi) n!}{(p-1)! (n-p)!} \left\{ 1 - \left[1 - (\varphi)^2\right]^{\tau} \right\}^{p-1} \left[1 - (\varphi)^2\right]^{\tau[(n-p)+1]-1}.$$
 (26)

Proof. The pdf of the pth O.S can be found using

$$f_{p:n}(k) = \frac{n!}{(p-1)!(n-p)!}g(k)[G(k)]^{p-1}[1-G(k)]^{n-p},$$
(27)

where B(.,.) is the beta function. By inserting Eqs (5) and (6) into Eq (27), the pdf of the pth O.S of the TIITL_{HL} model after some simplification takes the form

$$f_{p:n}(k) = \frac{4\tau e^{-k} (1+e^{-k})^{-2}(\varphi) n!}{(p-1)! (n-p)!} \left\{ 1 - \left[1 - (\varphi)^2\right]^{\tau} \right\}^{p-1} \left[1 - (\varphi)^2\right]^{\tau[(n-p)+1]-1},$$
(28)

where $\varphi = \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}}\right)$. By substituting p = 1 and p = n into Eq (28), the lowest and highest order statistics are obtained.

4. Methods of estimation for uncensored sample

In this part, the parameter of the $TIITL_{HL}$ model is estimated via the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE), maximum product spacing estimation (MPSE), Anderson Darling estimation (ADE), least square estimation (LSE), weighted least square estimation (WLSE), and Cramer Von Mises estimation (CVME).

4.1. The MLE

If $k_1, k_2, ..., k_n$ be the random observed values from TIITL_{HL} model. Then, the MLE function L(τ) takes the form

$$L(\tau) = (4\tau)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-k_i}}{(1+e^{-k_i})^2} (\varphi_i) \left[1-(\varphi_i)^2\right]^{\tau-1}$$
(29)

where $\varphi_i = \left(\frac{1-e^{-k_i}}{1+e^{-k_i}}\right)$. The log-likelihood function of the TIITL_{HL} model takes the form

$$\log\left(L\left(\tau\right)\right) = n\log(4\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-k_i}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(\varphi_i\right) + (\tau - 1)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left[1 - (\varphi_i)^2\right],$$
(30)

The first derivative of Eq (30) with respect to τ is

$$\frac{\partial \log\left(L\left(\tau\right)\right)}{\partial \tau} = \frac{n}{\tau} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left[1 - \left(\varphi_{i}\right)^{2}\right].$$
(31)

The R (optim function) is employed to estimate the TIITL_{HL} parameter using numerical approaches.

4.2. The LSE and WLSE

Minimizing with respect to τ , the LS estimate $\hat{\tau}_{LS}$ can be found using

$$LS(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k}}{1 + e^{-k}} \right)^2 \right]^{\tau} - \frac{i}{n+1} \right]^2.$$
(32)

Likewise, minimizing with respect to τ , the WLS estimates $\hat{\tau}_{WLS}$ can be found using

$$WLS(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(n+2)(n+1)^2}{i(n-i+1)} \left[1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k}}{1+e^{-k}} \right)^2 \right]^{\tau} - \frac{i}{n+1} \right]^2.$$
(33)

4.3. The MPSE

The MPS for the TIITL_{HL} model with ordered sample $k_{(1:n)}, k_{(2:n)}, \ldots, k_{(n:n)}$ is given as follows

$$GM(\tau|k_{n:n}) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} D_i(k_i,\tau)\right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}},$$
(34)

where $D_i(k_i, \tau) = F(k_{(i:n)} | \tau) - F(k_{(i-1:n)} | \tau)$; i = 1, 2, ..., n+1. and $F(k, \tau)$ is given in Eq (5).

4.4. The ADE

Minimizing with respect τ , the AD estimate $\hat{\tau}_{AD}$ can be found using

$$AD(\tau) = -n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2i - 1) \left[\log F\left(k_{(i:n)} | \tau\right) + \log \bar{F}\left(k_{(n+1-i:n)} | \tau\right) \right],$$
(35)

where $\overline{F}(k, \tau) = 1 - F(k, \tau)$ and $F(k, \tau)$ is given in Eq (5).

4.5. The CVME

Minimizing with respect τ , the CVM estimates $\hat{\tau}_{CVM}$ can be found using

$$CVM(\tau) = \frac{1}{12} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k}}{1 + e^{-k}} \right)^2 \right]^{\tau} - \frac{2(i-1) + 1}{2n} \right]^2.$$
(36)

5. MLE FOR TYPE II RIGHT CENSORING

Given that a fixed number of failed units have been observed, a life testing experiment is concluded. Then the remaining units are designated as type-II-censored. Let $k_{(1)}, k_{(2)}, \ldots, k_{(p)}, p \le n$ denote the ordered values of a random sample (r.s) k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n (failure times) and observations cease after the pth unsuccessful unit occurs, then the likelihood function is given

$$L(\tau;k) = \frac{n!}{(n-p)!} \left[R(k_p;\tau) \right]^{n-p} \prod_{i=1}^{p} f(k_i;\tau) \,.$$
(37)

If k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n be r.s from the TIITL_{HL} (τ), then the likelihood function is

$$L(\tau;k) = \frac{n!}{(n-p)!} \left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k_p}}{1+e^{-k_p}}\right)^2 \right]^{\tau(n-p)} \prod_{i=1}^p \left\{ \frac{4\tau e^{k_i} \left(\frac{1-e^{-k_i}}{1+e^{-k_i}}\right)}{(1+e^{-k_i})^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k_i}}{1+e^{-k_i}}\right)^2 \right]^{\tau-1} \right\}.$$
(38)

The log-likelihood function without the constant term is

$$l(\tau;k) \propto p \left[\log \left(4 \right) + \log \left(\tau \right) \right] + \tau \left(n - p \right) \log \left[1 - \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k_p}}{1 + e^{-k_p}} \right)^2 \right] - \sum_{i=1}^p k_i -2\sum_{i=1}^p \log(1 + e^{-k_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^p \log \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k_i}}{1 + e^{-k_i}} \right) + (\tau - 1)\sum_{i=1}^p \log \left[1 - \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k_i}}{1 + e^{-k_i}} \right)^2 \right] .$$
(39)

Setting $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} l(\tau; k) = 0$. The MLE $(\hat{\tau})$ can be found as solution of

$$\frac{p}{\tau} + (n-p)\log\left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k_p}}{1+e^{-k_p}}\right)^2\right] + \sum_{i=1}^p \log\left[1 - \left(\frac{1-e^{-k_i}}{1+e^{-k_i}}\right)^2\right]$$
(40)

Using the R (*optim function*), the non-linear equation in Eq (40) is solved numerically to obtain the MLE $\hat{\tau}$.

6. SIMULATION

The Monte Carlo simulations for uncensored and censored samples are executed for the $TIITL_{HL}$ parameter (Pa.).

6.1. Simulation based on uncensored sample

The simulations using MLE, LSE, WLSE, MPSE, ADE, and CVME approaches for the TIITL_{HL} parameter are presented in this subpart. The simulation is carried out as follows:

- Set the parameter value τ = 0.5, 2.5 for the Monte Carlo simulation process.
- Random samples of sizes n = 20, 70, 150, 250, 350 with replicates N = 5000 generated using Eq (11).
- The MLE, LSE, WLSE, MPSE, ADE, and CVME processes are executed to find the estimates of parameter (*τ*).
- Compute the average estimate (AVEs), absolute biases (ABs), mean square errors (MSEs) and mean relative error (MREs) using the information in the preceding step.

Tables 2 and 3 reports the AVEs, ABs, MSEs and MREs for the MLE, LSE, WLSE, MPSE, ADE, and CVME methods with different sample sizes. The results are graphically summarized in Figures 4 and 5. As seen from these graphs, the ABs, MSEs and MREs tend to zero as n increases for the six estimation methods. However, the ADE average estimate tend to the true parameter value faster than other estimation methods with minimum AB.

Table 2: *The six estimators AVE for* $\tau = 0.5$ *based on uncensored sample.*

| n | Measures | MLE | MPSE | ADE | LSE | WLSE | CVME |
|-----|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 20 | AVE | 0.527 | 0.489 | 0.518 | 0.522 | 0.520 | 0.526 |
| 70 | AVE | 0.507 | 0.492 | 0.504 | 0.505 | 0.505 | 0.506 |
| 150 | AVE | 0.503 | 0.495 | 0.502 | 0.502 | 0.502 | 0.503 |
| 250 | AVE | 0.502 | 0.496 | 0.501 | 0.501 | 0.501 | 0.501 |
| 350 | AVE | 0.501 | 0.497 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| | | | | | | | |



Figure 4: *AB*, *MSE* and *MRE* of *Estimators* in *Table* 2.

Table 3: The six estimators AVE for $\tau = 2.5$ based on uncensored sample.

| n | Measures | MLE | MPSE | ADE | LSE | WLSE | CVME |
|-----|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 20 | AVE | 2.637 | 2.444 | 2.591 | 2.610 | 2.598 | 2.632 |
| 70 | AVE | 2.536 | 2.460 | 2.521 | 2.525 | 2.524 | 2.531 |
| 150 | AVE | 2.517 | 2.475 | 2.509 | 2.511 | 2.511 | 2.514 |
| 250 | AVE | 2.508 | 2.480 | 2.503 | 2.504 | 2.504 | 2.505 |
| 350 | AVE | 2.504 | 2.483 | 2.500 | 2.501 | 2.501 | 2.502 |



Figure 5: *AB*, *MSE* and *MRE* of *Estimators* in *Table 3*.

6.2. Simulation based on type-II-right censored sample

The simulation is executed for the MLE using different random sample sizes n = (20, 70, 150, 250, 350) generated with Eq (11). The length of censored sample test is given by p = nm, where (m) is the censoring proportion (0 < m < 1). Table 4 reports that the bias, MSE and MRE values decrease as the sample size increases for the different censoring proportions considered.

| n | m | τ | τ̂ | Bias | MSE | MRE |
|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| 20 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.881 | 0.381 | 0.145 | 0.762 |
| | | 2.5 | 4.405 | 1.905 | 3.629 | 0.762 |
| | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.752 | 0.252 | 0.063 | 0.503 |
| | | 2.5 | 3.758 | 1.258 | 1.583 | 0.503 |
| | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.781 | 0.281 | 0.079 | 0.562 |
| | | 2.5 | 3.906 | 1.406 | 1.976 | 0.562 |
| 70 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.611 | 0.111 | 0.012 | 0.223 |
| | | 2.5 | 3.057 | 0.557 | 0.310 | 0.223 |
| | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.650 | 0.150 | 0.022 | 0.299 |
| | | 2.5 | 3.248 | 0.748 | 0.560 | 0.299 |
| | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.657 | 0.157 | 0.025 | 0.315 |
| | | 2.5 | 3.287 | 0.787 | 0.620 | 0.315 |
| 150 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.551 | 0.051 | 0.003 | 0.101 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.753 | 0.253 | 0.064 | 0.101 |
| | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.554 | 0.054 | 0.003 | 0.108 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.771 | 0.271 | 0.073 | 0.108 |
| | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.573 | 0.073 | 0.005 | 0.145 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.863 | 0.363 | 0.132 | 0.145 |
| 250 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.567 | 0.066 | 0.004 | 0.132 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.831 | 0.331 | 0.110 | 0.132 |
| | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.587 | 0.087 | 0.008 | 0.175 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.936 | 0.436 | 0.190 | 0.175 |
| | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.579 | 0.079 | 0.006 | 0.158 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.896 | 0.396 | 0.157 | 0.158 |
| 350 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.485 | -0.015 | 2E-04 | 0.030 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.424 | -0.076 | 0.006 | 0.030 |
| | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.453 | -0.047 | 0.002 | 0.095 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.264 | -0.236 | 0.056 | 0.095 |
| | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.424 | -0.076 | 0.006 | 0.153 |
| | | 2.5 | 2.118 | -0.382 | 0.146 | 0.153 |

Table 4: The MLE, Bias, MSE and MRE based on censored sample.

7. The log-TIITL_{HL} regression model

Let K denotes a random variable which follows the TIITL_{HL} model with parameter τ . Utilizing the transformation $Y = \log(K)$ with location and scale parameters added, the density of Y is

$$f_{TIITL_{HL}}(y,\tau,\mu,\sigma) = \frac{4\tau}{\sigma} \exp\left[\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right] \left\{1 + \exp\left[-\exp\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]\right\}^{-2} \times \left\{\frac{1-\exp\left[-\exp\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]}{1+\exp\left[-\exp\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]}\right\} \left(1 - \left\{\frac{1-\exp\left[-\exp\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]}{1+\exp\left[-\exp\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]}\right\}^{2}\right)^{\tau-1},$$
(41)

where $\tau, \sigma > 0, y, \mu \in \Re$. The random variable Y has the log-TIITL_{HL} (LTIITL_{HL}) model with location μ and scale σ parameters, respectively. The survival function to Eq (41) is

$$S_{TIITL_{HL}}(y,\tau,\mu,\sigma) = \left(1 - \left\{\frac{1 - \exp\left[-\exp\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]}{1 + \exp\left[-\exp\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]}\right\}^2\right)^{\tau}.$$
(42)

By inserting $z = (y - \mu) / \sigma$, $z \in \Re$ into Eq (41). The standardized log-TIITL_{HL} density takes the form

$$f_{TIITL_{HL}}(z,\tau) = \frac{4\tau}{\sigma} \exp\left[(z) - \exp\left(z\right)\right] \left\{1 + \exp\left[-\exp\left(z\right)\right]\right\}^{-2} \\ \times \left\{\frac{1 - \exp\left[-\exp(z)\right]}{1 + \exp\left[-\exp(z)\right]}\right\} \left(1 - \left\{\frac{1 - \exp\left[-\exp(z)\right]}{1 + \exp\left[-\exp(z)\right]}\right\}^{2}\right)^{\tau - 1}.$$
(43)

Let $K_i = (k_{i1}, ..., k_{im})^T$ be the explanatory vector associated with the ith response variable y_i for i = 1, ..., n. A regression model based on the TIITL_{HL} density function is given by

$$y_i = \mathbf{K}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma z_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$
(44)

where z_i is the random error which follows the density function Eq (43), $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)^T$, $\sigma > 0$, $\tau > 0$ are unknown parameters and K_i is modeling $\mu_i = K_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$. The density and survival functions of y_i are

$$f_{TIITL_{HL}}(y_i;\tau,\sigma,\boldsymbol{\beta}^T) = \frac{4\tau}{\sigma} \exp\left[z_i - \exp\left(z_i\right)\right] \left\{1 + \exp\left[-\exp\left(z_i\right)\right]\right\}^{-2} \times \left\{\frac{1 - \exp\left[-\exp\left(z_i\right)\right]}{1 + \exp\left[-\exp\left(z_i\right)\right]}\right\} \left(1 - \left\{\frac{1 - \exp\left[-\exp\left(z_i\right)\right]}{1 + \exp\left[-\exp\left(z_i\right)\right]}\right\}^2\right)^{\tau - 1},$$
(45)

and

$$S_{TIITL_{HL}}(y_i;\tau,\sigma,\boldsymbol{\beta}^T) = \left(1 - \left\{\frac{1 - \exp\left[-\exp\left(z_i\right)\right]}{1 + \exp\left[-\exp\left(z_i\right)\right]}\right\}^2\right)^{\tau},\tag{46}$$

Let *F* and *C* denote the sets of units for which y_i is the log-lifetime or log-censoring, respectively. The log-likelihood for $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} = (\tau, \sigma, \boldsymbol{\beta}^T)^T$ from Eq (44) is

$$l(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = r \log\left(\frac{4\tau}{\sigma}\right) + \sum_{i \in F} [z_i - \exp(z_i)] - 2\sum_{i \in F} \log\left\{1 + \exp\left[-\exp(z_i)\right]\right\} + \sum_{i \in F} \log\left\{\frac{1 - \exp[-\exp(z_i)]}{1 + \exp[-\exp(z_i)]}\right\} + (\tau - 1)\sum_{i \in F} \log\left(1 - \left\{\frac{1 - \exp[-\exp(z_i)]}{1 + \exp[-\exp(z_i)]}\right\}^2\right) + \tau \sum_{i \in C} \log\left(1 - \left\{\frac{1 - \exp[-\exp(z_i)]}{1 + \exp[-\exp(z_i)]}\right\}^2\right).$$
(47)

where $z_i = (y_i - K_i^T \beta) / \sigma$ and r is the number of uncensored observations (failures). The MLE $\hat{\vartheta}$ of ϑ can be obtained by maximizing Eq (47) using the R (*optim function*).

8. Applications

The potentiality of the introduced model is illustrated by means of five applications.

8.1. Applications to uncensored data

The first dataset consist of survival time of 72 Guinea pigs infected with virulent tubercle bacilli. The data was initially reported by [19], and analysed by [6] and [20]. The second dataset, discussed by [21] consists of 30 observations of March precipitation (in inches) in Minneapolis/St Paul.



Figure 6: Box, TTT, kernel-density, strip, and Violin plots of first uncensored data.

Figures 6 and 7 depict the box plot, TTT plot, kernel density plot, strip plot, and Violin plot of the first and second datasets to check for outliers and symmetric nature. As depicted, both



Figure 7: Box, TTT, kernel-density, strip, and Violin plots of second uncensored data.

datasets have certain outliers and are asymmetrical in nature. More so, the total test time (TTT) plot depicts that the utilized datasets have increasing HRF which means that the $TIITL_{HL}$ model can be used to model these datasets.

We fit the datasets with the TIITL_{HL}, odd Lindley HL (OLIHL), Generalized HL (GHL), Inverse Lindley (ILIN), Rayleigh (R), Inverse Rayleigh (IR), and Muth (M) models. The MLEs for all the models are computed in R-software via the BFGS method (*optim* function). The W^* , A^* and KS measures (we used abbreviations) for model comparisons. The MLEs and their standard errors (SEs) in parentheses, log-likelihood (LL), and the information criteria are presented in Tables 5 and 6 for both datasets, respectively. The measures reveal that the TIITL_{HL} model provides an appropriate fit to both datasets (with lowest values of the goodness-of-fit statistics).

Table 5: The MLEs and SEs of the fitted models with goodness-of-fit measures for first uncensored data.

| Model | MLEs (SEs) | LL | W* | A* | CAIC | AIC | BIC | HQIC | KS(P-Value) |
|---------|--------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|
| TIITLHL | 1.257(0.148) | -96.83 | 0.074 | 0.498 | 195.7 | 195.7 | 197.9 | 196.6 | 0.088(0.636) |
| OLIHL | 0.289()0.024 | -157.28 | 0.891 | 4.940 | 316.6 | 316.6 | 318.8 | 317.5 | 0.393(0.000) |
| GHL | 0.786(0.093) | -104.94 | 0.080 | 0.531 | 211.9 | 211.9 | 214.2 | 212.8 | 0.218(0.002) |
| ILIN | 1.359(0.124) | -129.20 | 0.929 | 5.634 | 260.5 | 260.4 | 262.7 | 261.3 | 0.232(0.001) |
| R | 1.442(0.085) | -98.96 | 0.133 | 0.814 | 200.0 | 199.9 | 202.2 | 200.8 | 0.107(0.376) |
| IR | 0.480(0.028) | -204.82 | 1.632 | 9.214 | 411.7 | 411.6 | 413.9 | 412.5 | 0.623(0.000) |
| М | 0.480(0.028) | -123.46 | 0.118 | 0.735 | 249.0 | 248.9 | 251.2 | 249.8 | 0.434(0.000) |



Figure 8: The fitted pdfs (left panel) and cdfs (top-left,right panel) of competing models, fitted HRF (top-right,right panel), P-P plot (bottom-left,right panel) and Q-Q plot (bottom-right,right panel) of the TIITL_{HL} model for first uncensored data.

| Model | MLEs (SEs) | LL | W* | A* | CAIC | AIC | BIC | HQIC | KS(P-Value) |
|---------|---------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| TIITLHL | 1.351 (0.247) | -38.34 | 0.01483 | 0.1155 | 78.83 | 78.68 | 80.08 | 79.13 | 0.059(0.999) |
| OLIHL | 0.364 (0.048) | -56.22 | 0.25812 | 1.6009 | 114.58 | 114.44 | 115.84 | 114.89 | 0.307(0.007) |
| GHL | 0.828(0.151) | -42.43 | 0.01530 | 0.1195 | 87.01 | 86.87 | 88.27 | 87.32 | 0.182(0.274) |
| ILIN | 1.583 (0.227) | -45.22 | 0.09750 | 0.6014 | 92.59 | 92.44 | 93.84 | 92.89 | 0.228(0.089) |
| R | 1.376(0.125) | -38.92 | 0.02607 | 0.1979 | 79.99 | 79.85 | 81.25 | 80.30 | 0.084(0.985) |
| IR | -0.927(0.085) | -44.14 | 0.16293 | 0.9881 | 90.42 | 90.27 | 91.67 | 90.72 | 0.240(0.064) |
| М | 0.185(0.091) | -48.72 | 0.02471 | 0.1898 | 99.59 | 99.45 | 100.85 | 99.89 | 0.367(0.001) |

Table 6: The MLEs and SEs of the fitted models with goodness-of-fit measures for second uncensored data.

Figures 8 and 9 display the histogram and Kaplan-Meier empirical cdf in conjunction with fitted pdfs and cdfs of the $TIITL_{HL}$ and competing models for both datasets. Figures 8 and 9, also depict the fitted HRF of the $TIITL_{HL}$ model with corresponding probability-probability (P-P) and quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots. The superiority of the $TIITL_{HL}$ model is supported by these figures.



Figure 9: The fitted pdfs (left panel) and cdfs (top-left,right panel) of competing models, fitted HRF (top-right,right panel), P-P plot (bottom-left,right panel) and Q-Q plot (bottom-right,right panel) of the TIITL_{HL} model for second uncensored data.

Tables 7 and 8 report the estimation of the TIITL_{HL} parameter based on six estimation methods for the both uncensored datasets. From the results in Tables 7, the MPSE and WLSE are considered as the appropriate methods with smaller KS and larger P-values than the other methods. Likewise, the results in Table 8 attest that the MLE, ADE and WLSE are the appropriate methods with smaller KS and larger P-values than the other methods.

| $Estimate{\downarrow}Method{\rightarrow}$ | MLE | MPSE | CVME | ADE | LSE | WLSE |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| τ | 1.257 | 1.215 | 1.279 | 1.262 | 1.266 | 1.229 |
| KS | 0.088 | 0.081 | 0.091 | 0.089 | 0.089 | 0.083 |
| P-Value | 0.600 | 0.700 | 0.600 | 0.600 | 0.610 | 0.700 |

Table 7: The results of the six estimation methods for first uncensored data.

Table 8: The results of the six estimation methods for second uncensored data.

| $Estimate{\downarrow}Method{\rightarrow}$ | MLE | MPSE | CVME | ADE | LSE | WLSE |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| τ | 1.351 | 1.266 | 1.354 | 1.347 | 1.011 | 1.351 |
| KS | 0.059 | 0.073 | 0.060 | 0.059 | 0.155 | 0.059 |
| P-Value | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.470 | 0.999 |

8.2. Applications to censored data

The first dataset represents the relief times (in minutes) of twenty patients receiving an analgesic. The data was initially reported by [22] and the complete sample analysed by [23] and [24]. The censored sample (number of failures) p, is chosen as 50% (censoring scheme). The MLE, KS and P-Value for the TIITL_{HL} model are reported in Table 9.

Table 9: The MLE and performance measure for the first censored data.

| Models | MLE | KS | P-Value |
|---------------------|----------------|-------|---------|
| TIITL _{HL} | $\tau = 0.877$ | 0.230 | 0.240 |

The second dataset represents the survival time of 72 Guinea pigs infected with virulent tubercle bacilli. The complete sample was analysed by [6] and [20]. The censored sample (number of failures) p, is chosen as 70% (censoring scheme). The MLE, KS and P-Value for the TIITL_{HL} model are reported in Table 10. It is evident that the TIITL_{HL} appropriately fits the two survival time censored datasets.

Table 10: The MLE and performance measure for the second censored data.

| Models | MLE | KS | P-Value | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| $\mathrm{TIITL}_{\mathrm{HL}}$ | $\tau = 1.174$ | 0.077 | 0.790 | | |

It is evident that the $TIITL_{HL}$ appropriately fits the two censored samples.

8.3. Regression model application

The usefulness of log-TIITL_{HL} regression model is demonstrated by means of a real data analysis. The log-TIITL_{HL} regression model is compared with log-exponential (LE) and log-Burr-Hatke-exponential (LBHE) regression models [25]. The utilized dataset contains 100 individuals having HIV+ obtained from the **Bolstad2** package in R-software. The observed survival times (y_i), in months, with censoring indicator (0 = alive and 1 = death) is analysed with two explanatory variables: k_{i1} , (0 = no and 1 = yes) represent the history of drug usage and k_{i2} represent the ages of patients. The proposed regression model is

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 k_{i1} + \beta_2 k_{i2} + \sigma z_i \tag{48}$$

where z_i has density Eq (43). The MLE method is utilized in estimating the unknown parameters of log-TIITL_{HL}, LE and LBHE regression models. Table 11 reports the regression models estimated parameters, -LL and performance measures (AIC, BIC, AICc and HIQC values). The results provided in Table 11 indicates that the LTIITL_{HL} regression model has the lowest value of -LL and performance measures values, respectively. Hence, it is concluded that log-TIITL_{HL} regression model provides appropriate fit than LE and LBHE regression models. More so, the estimated regression parameters β_0 , β_1 and β_2 are statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

| LBHE | | | | L-E | | | Log-TIITL _{HL} | | | |
|------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------------|--------|---------|--|
| Parameters | Estimates | SE | P-Value | Estimates | SE | P-Value | Estimates | SE | P-Value | |
| τ | 1.508 | 13.659 | - | 1.599 | 13.783 | - | 26.968 | 48.251 | - | |
| σ | 0.778 | 0.067 | - | 0.839 | 0.072 | - | 1.684 | 0.143 | - | |
| β_0 | 6.883 | 7.064 | 0.330 | 6.542 | 7.256 | 0.367 | 2.303 | 0.130 | < 0.001 | |
| β_1 | -0.091 | 0.014 | < 0.001 | -0.091 | 0.014 | < 0.001 | -0.023 | 0.009 | 0.020 | |
| β_2 | -1.021 | 0.193 | < 0.001 | -1.049 | 0.189 | < 0.001 | -0.261 | 0.109 | 0.017 | |
| -LL | | 128.059 | | 128.502 | | | 128.051 | | | |
| AIC | | | 267.00 | | | 266.10 | | | | |
| BIC | 3IC 279.14 | | | | 280.03 | | | 279.13 | | |
| AICc | AICc 266.76 | | | | 267.64 | | | 266.74 | | |
| HQIC | | 271.39 | | | 272.28 | | | 271.37 | | |

Table 11: The regression models estimated parameters and performance measures.

9. Conclusion

This work introduced a new one-parameter model titled the TIITL_{HL} model and provided some of its properties. The consistency of the maximum likelihood estimator and five other estimators are proven by uncensored and censored simulation studies. Applications to real medical and environmental sciences datasets revealed its flexibility and adaptability. The log-TIITL_{HL} regression model constructed and fitted to HIV+ data, and compared with other existing models showed that the model will be a useful choice in survival investigation for practitioners. Overall, the five applications showed the usefulness of the new model for asymmetric, uncensored and censored data. In future works, the Bayesian analysis of TIITL_{HL} accelerated failure time model, the TIITL_{HL}–G family of distributions and the discrete case of the TIITL_{HL} model will be addressed.

References

- [1] Balakrishnan N. (1992). Handbook of the Logistic Distribution. Statistics: A Series of Textbooks and Monographs, 123, Marcel Dekker, New York, USA.
- [2] Cordeiro, G. M., Alizadeh, M. and Pedro, R. D. M (2016). The type I half-logistic family of distributions. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 86: 707–728.
- [3] Rao, R. S., Mamidi, P. L. and Kantam, R. R. (2016). Modified maximum likelihood estimation: Inverse half logistic distribution. *Journal on Mathematics*, 5: 11–19.
- [4] Alaa, H. A. H. (2016). Properties, estimations and predictions for a Poisson-half-logistic distribution based on progressively type-II censored samples. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40: 7164–7181.
- [5] Soliman, A. H., Elgarhy, M. A. E., and Shakil, M. (2017). Type II Half Logistic Family of Distributions with Applications. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics and Operation Research*, 13: 245–264.
- [6] Usman, R. M., Haq, A. M., and Talib, J. (2017). Kumaraswamy half-logistic distribution: properties and applications. *Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability*, 6: 597–609.
- [7] Altun, E., Khan, M. N., Alizadeh, M., Ozel, G., and Butt, N. S (2018). Extended Half-Logistic Distribution with Theory and Lifetime Data Application. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics and Operation Research*, 14: 319–331.
- [8] Samuel, A. F., and Kehinde, O. A. (2019). A Study on Transmuted Half Logistic Distribution: Properties and Application. *International Journal of Statistical Distributions and Applications*, 5: 54–59.
- [9] Alizadeh, M., Nematollahi, A., Altun, E., and Rasekhi, M (2020). A Study on A New Type I Half-Logistic Family of Distributions and Its Applications. *Statistics, Optimization and Information Computing*, 8: 934–949.
- [10] Eliwa, M. S., Altun, E., Alhussain, Z. A., Ahmed, E. A., Salah, M. M., Ahmed, H. H., and El-Morshedy, M. (2021). A new one-parameter lifetime distribution and its regression model with applications. *PLoS ONE* 16: e0246969.

- [11] Hashempour, M., and Alizadeh, M. (2021). A New Weighted Half-Logistic distribution: Properties, applications and different method of estimations. *Statistics, Optimization & Information Computing*, 11: 554–569.
- [12] Mohammad, G. S. (2022). A new two-parameter modified half-logistic distribution: Properties and Applications. *Stat., Optim. Inf. Comput.,* 10: 589–605.
- [13] Hashem, A. F., Ku?, C., Pekg?r, A., and Alaa, H. A. H. (2022). Poisson–logarithmic halflogistic distribution with inference under a progressive-stress model based on adaptive type-II progressive hybrid censoring. *J Egypt Math Soc* 30: 1–33.
- [14] Majid, H (2022). An extended type I half-logistic family of distributions: Properties, applications and different method of estimations. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 72: 745–764.
- [15] Arshad, R. M. I., Tahir, M. H., Chesneau, C., Khan, S. and Jamal, F. (2022). The gamma power half-logistic distribution: theory and applications. *S?o Paulo J. Math. Sci.*, 2022.
- [16] Elgarhy, M., Nasir, M. A., Jamal, F., and Ozel, G. (2018). The type II Topp-Leone generated family of distributions: Properties and applications. *Journal of Statistics and Management Systems*, 21: 1529–1551.
- [17] Kenney, J. F. Mathematics of statistics, D. Van Nostrand, 1939.
- [18] Moors, J. J. A. (1988). A quantile alternative for kurtosis. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series D (The Statistician)*, 37: 25–32.
- [19] Bjerkedal, T. (1960). Acquisition of resistance in guinea pies infected with different doses of virulent tubercle bacilli. *American Journal of Hygiene*, 72: 130–148.
- [20] Adubisi, O. D., Abdulkadir, A. and Chiroma, H. (2021). A Two Parameter Odd Exponentiated Skew-T Distribution With J-Shaped Hazard Rate Function. *Journal of Statistical Modeling & Analytics (JOSMA)*, 3(1): 26–46.
- [21] Haq, M. A. (2016). Kumaraswamy exponentiated inverse Rayleigh distribution. *Mathematical Theory and Modeling*, 6: 93–104.
- [22] Gross, A. J., and Clark, V. A. (1975). Survival Distributions: Reliability Applications in the Biometrical Sciences, John Wiley, New York.
- [23] Shanker, R., Hagos, F. and Sujatha, S. (2015). On modeling of Lifetimes data using exponential & Lindley distributions. *Biometrics & Biostatistics International Journal*, 2: 1–9.
- [24] Shafi, S., Wani, S. A., and Shafi, S. (2020). A new three parameter weighted distribution applicable to relief times, waiting times and carbon fiber. *J. Stat. Appl.*, 10: 167–184.
- [25] Yadav, A. S., Altun, E., and Yousof, H. M. (2019). Burr-Hatke exponential distribution: A decreasing failure rate model. *Statistical Inference and Applications. Annals of Data Science*, 1–20.