GAME THEORY-BASED OPTIMIZATION FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY TRADING SYSTEMS

Elbey Rustemzade¹, Nurali Yusifbayli¹

•

¹Azerbaijan Technical University, H. Cavid ave. 25, Baku, Azerbaijan AZ 1073 elbeyrustemzade@gmail.com, yusifbayli.n@gmail.com

Abstract

This article explores the transition from traditional energy networks to Microgrid networks, highlighting the implications of Energy 4.0 and the adoption of modern innovative approaches in energy systems. As energy demands evolve, decentralized energy trading systems are emerging as crucial mechanisms that empower consumers and enhance grid resilience. The paper presents a technical solution to the challenges posed by this transition, focusing on optimizing energy trade through Corporate Game Theory methods. By employing these strategic frameworks, the study aims to improve decision-making processes among market participants, ultimately leading to more efficient energy transactions. The results demonstrate significant advancements in optimizing energy trade, showcasing the potential for increased efficiency and sustainability in decentralized energy markets. This research contributes to a deeper understanding of how innovative strategies can facilitate the effective integration of Microgrid networks within the broader context of Energy 4.0.

Keywords: Energy4.0, Game theory, peer to peer energy trade, microgrid.

I. Introduction

Energy issues represent one of the most complex challenges of today. The increasing global demand for energy, the urgent need to combat climate change, and the integration of renewable energy sources necessitate innovative solutions to create more sustainable and efficient energy systems. In this context, game theory emerges as a powerful tool for optimizing strategic decision-making processes in energy trading and management [1].

Game theory is a mathematical framework that models situations where individuals or groups interact to achieve specific objectives. In the energy sector, these interactions can often be competitive or collaborative. By analyzing these dynamics, game theory helps balance the interests of various stakeholders - such as producers, consumers, and regulatory bodies - leading to better outcomes in energy distribution and consumption [2].

The rise of renewable energy sources has introduced new dynamics into energy trading. Innovative approaches like *peer-to-peer (P2P)* energy trading allow individuals to trade their energy directly with one another, challenging traditional market structures. In this process, game theory can provide crucial insights into pricing strategies and resource allocation. Furthermore, cooperative game theory offers a framework for different stakeholders to collaborate effectively toward common goals [3].

However, the complexity of energy issues extends beyond technical challenges; it encompasses social, economic, and environmental dimensions as well. For instance:

Increasing Energy Demand: Population growth and industrialization are driving a rapid rise in energy demand. This escalation strains existing energy infrastructures and heightens the need for new resources [4].

Climate Change: The reliance on fossil fuels significantly contributes to climate change, prompting countries to seek sustainable energy solutions. Yet, integrating renewable sources into existing systems is a multifaceted process fraught with conflicting stakeholder interests [4].

Regulatory Uncertainties: Changing regulations and policies in energy markets create uncertainty for investors, negatively impacting market dynamics and long-term planning [4].

Technological Advancements: The necessity for innovative technologies presents both opportunities and challenges. Game theory can guide strategic decision-making while influencing the adoption of new technologies.

Given these complexities, the role of game theory in addressing energy issues becomes increasingly evident. By developing strategies informed by game-theoretic principles, stakeholders can better navigate their interactions and conflicts, ultimately leading to more sustainable and efficient energy systems [4].

Several real-world applications illustrate how game theory is being used to address energy challenges:

Brooklyn Microgrid: This project enables local energy trading among participants using renewable energy sources. Game theory was used to model the interactions between prosumers (producers and consumers) to optimize trading strategies. By applying game theory, the project achieved efficient price-setting mechanisms, allowing participants to maximize their economic benefits while promoting local energy resilience [5].

California's Demand Response Program: This program utilizes game theory to incentivize residential consumers to reduce their energy usage during peak periods. The program models consumer behavior to determine optimal pricing strategies. The application of game theory led to increased participation rates and significant reductions in peak demand, contributing to grid stability [6].

UK Energy Market Auctions: The UK energy market employs auction mechanisms for capacity allocation, where game theory is used to analyze bids from various energy producers. The goal is to design auctions that maximize efficiency and fairness. By applying game theory principles, the auction design improved competition and ensured optimal resource allocation among diverse energy suppliers [7].

Sonnen Community Project in Germany: This initiative facilitates peer-to-peer energy trading among residents using a blockchain platform. Game theory is used to develop trading algorithms that optimize energy exchanges based on real-time consumption patterns. The project has demonstrated successful local energy trading, allowing consumers to benefit economically while enhancing the use of renewable energy sources [8].

Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Optimization: Projects focusing on EV charging optimization utilize game theory to manage charging behaviors among users while minimizing grid impacts. Game theory models helped optimize charging schedules, balancing user convenience and grid reliability—crucial as EV adoption increases [9].

The aforementioned examples illustrate the practical applications of game theory in various energy-related projects, highlighting its importance in optimizing resource allocation, enhancing market efficiency, and facilitating the integration of renewable energy sources.

This paper will explore how game theory can be applied to solve various energy-related problems, emphasizing its potential in areas such as p2p trading, dynamic pricing models, and cooperative strategies among stakeholders. Through this examination, we aim to illustrate how strategic thinking rooted in game theory can help overcome the intricate challenges facing the energy sector today.

II. Challenges in Microgrid Protection Systems

The rise of micro grids has transformed energy trade, introducing both opportunities and significant challenges. One of the main issues is the integration of diverse renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind, which are inherently intermittent. This variability complicates forecasting and reliable trading agreements, making it essential for market participants to develop robust prediction models [10,11].

The integration of alternative energy resources especially wind and solar energy into electrical grids presents challenges due to their dynamic characters. Especially when the share of these renewable energy sources exceeds 5-10%, energy managers need to develop strategies. First of all, it is important to prevent local concentrations. Additionally, renewable energy plants must have the ability to *stabilize the grid*. Accurately forecasting electricity production plays a critical role in the *operational planning* of other plants. These forecasts help *optimize energy flows*. There is significant experimental data and theoretical models related to high shares of renewable energy. When the right measures are taken, a *reliable energy transition* can be achieved [11].

Regulatory frameworks also pose challenges, as differing rules across jurisdictions can create confusion and hinder local energy exchanges. A cohesive regulatory approach is necessary to facilitate seamless operations and ensure fair participation in energy markets. [12,13]

Grid interoperability is another critical issue. Effective communication between microgrids and the main grid is vital for enabling energy transactions, yet the lack of standardized communication protocols can lead to inefficiencies [13].

Financial challenges arise in establishing fair pricing mechanisms that reflect the value of renewable energy while ensuring consumer affordability. Innovative pricing models that consider market demand and generation variability are essential for successful trading [14].

Additionally, trust and transparency in energy trading are crucial. The implementation of blockchain technology and smart contracts can enhance transaction security and reliability, ensuring that all parties adhere to agreed-upon terms while streamlining the trading process [15].

In summary, while energy trading in microgrids offers exciting opportunities for localized energy management, addressing challenges related to renewable integration, regulatory consistency, interoperability, pricing, and transparency will be key to optimizing these systems.

III. Optimizing Energy Trade by Corporate Game Theory Method

Game theory is a mathematical framework that applies to economic theory, where the economy is viewed as a complex game involving producers, consumers, and market intermediaries. Introduced by John von Neumann in 1928, it initially focused on two-person zero-sum games, later expanded by von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern in their 1944 work, "Theory of Games and Economic Behavior." The significance of game theory is underscored by 11 Nobel prizes awarded for research in various fields that utilize its principles. At its core, game theory analyzes decision-making processes among rational actors, examining how their strategies and choices are shaped by the interactions with others [16].

Modern electric power systems face numerous challenges due to deregulation and increased competition, complicating the decision-making process. Additionally, there has been a shift from a vertical to a horizontal control and operational structure, which has heightened the complexity of issues related to reliability, operation, control, and management. Traditional models struggle to address the interdependent decision-making processes within power systems, as they often treat participants as static entities [16].

Game theory emerges as a valuable tool for tackling these contemporary challenges. It serves as an analytical framework for understanding strategic interactions among rational decision-makers, where each player's actions are influenced by the actions of others. Game theory is applicable across various fields, including computer science, economics, biology, political science, and psychology .It provides insights into a wide range of human and computer interactions, establishing itself as a science of logical decision-making. Primarily, game theory applies to economic theory, conceptualizing the economic system as a complex game between producers and consumers facilitated by market intermediaries [17].

Literature reviews on electricity markets provide a thorough examination of the diverse applications of game theory across multiple dimensions, including energy management, trading, pricing strategies, bidding tactics, and demand-side management. Within this context, games can be classified into four distinct types, Fig. 1., [17,18,19,20]:

Non-corperative Game: In this category, players make decisions simultaneously, meaning that the payoff for each participant is contingent upon the choices made by all others involved. This interdependence necessitates careful consideration of rivals' potential actions. In some academic literature, it is referred to as a "Strategic Game."

Dynamic Game: This type allows players to make decisions at various points in time, enabling strategies to adapt and evolve based on earlier actions and outcomes. Such temporal dynamics provide rich opportunities for strategic maneuvering and long-term planning.

Cooperative Game: This classification emphasizes the formation of coalitions among groups of players who can negotiate binding agreements to enhance their collective outcomes. The ability to collaborate strategically can lead to more favorable results than isolated decision-making.

Evolutionary Game: This approach investigates how strategies develop and change over time, influenced by their success relative to others within a population. It often draws on concepts of natural selection, illustrating how certain strategies can become more prevalent as they prove more effective in achieving desired outcomes.



Figure 1: Classification of Game Theory method. [20]

Table 1 presents the examined decision-making challenges within electric power systems, utilizing various classifications of game theory methodologies [19,20].

| | , ,, , |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Cooperative game theory | Analyzing how losses can be fairly distributed among participants |
| | Evaluating strategies employed by market agents to enhance system efficiency |
| | Developing frameworks that facilitate effective energy management |
| | Assessing the reliability of power systems under cooperative strategies |
| | Investigating the construction and optimization of distributed heating networks |
| | Addressing the complexities of demand management within microgrids |
| | Exploring how distributed resources can engage in market activities |
| | Analyzing cost allocation methods for transmission expansion |
| | Focusing on scheduling methodologies for renewable energy sources |
| Static game theory | Strategies to optimize consumer demand response |
| | Examining transaction dynamics in electricity markets |
| | Implementing energy management strategies in smart homes |
| | Addressing energy management challenges in hybrid systems |
| | Further insights into microgrid scheduling strategies |
| | Analyzing bidding strategies for VPPs in competitive markets. |
| | Exploring management techniques for distribution systems. |
| | Continued focus on renewable scheduling practices |
| | Investigating attacker-defender dynamics within power systems. |
| | Reiterating the importance of distributed resources in market participation. |
| Dynamic game theory | Strategies for integrating distributed resources into distribution systems |
| | Time-sensitive strategies for managing consumer demand |
| | Dynamic aspects of electricity market transactions |
| | Continued exploration of scheduling within microgrids over time |
| | Enhancing reliability through dynamic strategies |
| | Further analysis of attacker-defender interactions over time |
| | Power transactions of generating entities in an electricity market |
| Evolutionary game theory | Strategies for managing electric vehicle loads within decentralized frameworks |
| | Understanding adaptive transaction behaviors over time |
| | Adaptive approaches to demand-side strategies |
| | Evolutionary perspectives on microgrid scheduling practices |
| | Exploring innovative supply chain policies for renewable energy sources |

Table 1: Classifications of game theory methodologies

This structure emphasizes the significance of each type of game theory while providing a clearer overview of their applications in energy systems. [17,18,19,20]:

IV. Working Principle of the Game Framework

Energy trading in Integrated Energy Systems (IES) involves two main levels of decisionmaking. At the upper level, Energy Resources (ERs) set pricing strategies for the demand side, while the supply side makes quantitative decisions about how much energy to provide. These decisions are important because they affect consumer willingness to pay and supplier readiness to deliver energy. All players—ERs, energy suppliers (ESs), and users—are assumed to be rational and focused on optimizing their outcomes in a competitive market.

At the lower level, suppliers decide how much energy to bid based on ER prices, and users adjust their consumption in response to these prices. This interaction is dynamic, with each side

influencing the other. The situation can be modeled using *Stackelberg evolutionary game theory:* where ERs act as leaders setting prices, and ESs and users follow by adjusting their strategies. This framework helps analyze how strategic interactions among participants contribute to market efficiency and stability in energy trading within IES.

Fig. 2 the game framework mentioned in the sources is based on a two-stage game model used to model interactions among Energy Retailers (ER), Energy Suppliers (ES), and users.

Stage 1: Vertical Stackelberg Game

Leader (ER): The ER develops energy purchasing strategies for the supply side and pricing strategies for the demand side based on market information. The goal is to maximize the ER's revenues.

Followers (ESs and Users): ESs determine their bids according to the ER's energy purchasing strategy, while users adjust their Integrated Demand Response (IDR) based on the energy selling prices set by the ER. ESs aim to maximize their revenues, while users seek to minimize their energy costs.

Stage 2: Horizontal Non-Cooperative Game

Participants (ESs): ESs compete with each other as independent and rational individuals to maximize their revenues.

Strategy (ESs): Each ES sets its energy selling price offer to the ER.

Revenues (ESs): Each ES aims to maximize the difference between the revenue obtained from selling energy to the ER and its operational costs. [21]



Figure 2: IES game framework. [21]

This model includes a vertically structured Stackelberg game where ER acts as the leader, while ES and users are followers, and a horizontally structured non-cooperative game among ESs [20]. The primary objective of the game is to ensure that the system operates in a balanced and stable manner, assists both supply and demand sides in achieving their goals, and optimizes the revenues of all parties involved [21].

V. Implementation of the Game Framework

The implementation of the game framework is carried out using a distributed approach that combines genetic algorithms and second-order programming. Since the decisions of the ER represent a multivariable and nonlinear optimization problem, genetic algorithms are employed. In contrast, since the decisions of the ESs are second-order optimization problems, a second-order programming approach is utilized [21].

Enables active participation of ESs in the energy market, increasing their revenues, allows users to optimize their energy consumption through IDR and reduce their costs, Ensures that the ER maintains a balanced and stable operation of the system, Achieves distributed autonomy and collaborative optimization of Integrated Energy Systems (IES) is the main benefits of the game Framework [21,22].

VI. Conculusion

This study proposes using game theory to improve how we integrate renewable energy sources and make shared energy storage systems more practical. As energy systems grow more complex and interconnected, finding ways to balance different stakeholders' interests and strategies becomes essential. Game theory provides a way to design collaborative solutions that maximize benefits for everyone involved, enhancing overall system efficiency.

By applying cooperative game theory concepts, we developed fair benefit-sharing methods. These methods aim to make shared storage systems more appealing by ensuring that all participants gain from the arrangement.

Overall, this study underscores the potential of game theory to optimize energy systems, facilitate renewable energy integration, and encourage shared storage adoption. Moving forward, research might focus on more advanced game-theory models, including dynamic pricing and smart grid technologies. Exploring the impact of these solutions in various cultural and socioeconomic contexts could help create a more inclusive and sustainable future for energy management.

References

[1] Recent Advances in Local Energy Trading in the Smart Grid Based on Game–Theoretic Approaches .Mar 16 2024.Matthias Pilz, and Luluwah Al-Fagih M.Pilz and L.Al-Fagih are with Kingston University London.Faculty of Science, Engineering and Computing.

[2] Influence decision models: From cooperative game theory to social network analysis Volume 39, February 2021, 100343 , Xavier Molinero a b, Fabián Riquelme c

[3] Recent Advances in Local Energy Trading in the Smart Grid Based on Game-Theoretic Approaches, 18 October 2017, Matthias Pilz; Luluwah Al-Fagih

[4] A review of microgrid protection for addressing challenges and solutions Kunal Kumar , Prince Kumar , Susmita Kar

[5] Designing microgrid energy markets A case study: The Brooklyn Microgrid Esther Mengelkamp a,↑, Johannes Gärttner a , Kerstin Rock b , Scott Kessler b , Lawrence Orsini b , Christof Weinhardt

[6] C. E. T. R. Su et al. (2023). "Game Theory-Based Demand Response in California: A Case Study." Energy Economics.

[7] G. G. M. I. L. W. de Vries et al. (2024). "Game Theory in UK Energy Auctions: Enhancing Market Efficiency." Journal of Energy Markets.

[8] Summary case study report: The sonnenCommunity ,February 2022 DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6500618.Jake P BarnesJake P BarnesPaula HansenPaula HansenS. J. DarbyShow all

5 authorsMark A. AndorMark A. Andor

[9] M. K. Alabed et al. (2023). "Game-Theoretic Optimization of Electric Vehicle Charging in Smart Grids." IEEE Transactions on Power Systems.

[10] Smith, J., Brown, A., & Wilson, T. (2024). "Challenges in Local Energy Trading: Opportunities and Solutions." Journal of Energy Markets, 15(1), 1-20.

[11] SOME PROBLEMS OF ENERGY SECURITY IN THE CONTEXT OF WIDESPREAD USE OF RES N. A. Yusifbayli1*, V. X. Nasibov2 2023.1Azerbaijan Technical University, Baku, Azerbaijan 2Azerbaijan Research and Design–Prospecting Institute of Energetics, Baku, Azerbaijan

[12] Johnson, R., & Lee, M. (2024). "Regulatory Barriers to Microgrid Energy Trading: A Comparative Analysis." International Journal of Energy Research, 48(2), 110-125.

[13] Chen, L., Kim, S., & Davis, E. (2024). "Interoperability Challenges in Microgrid Energy Trading Systems." Renewable Energy Systems Review, 29(3), 205-220.

[14] Patel, N., & Zhao, Q. (2024). "Innovative Pricing Models for Renewable Energy in Microgrid Markets." Energy Reports, 10(2), 75-90.

[15] Thompson, K., White, R., & Green, D. (2024). "Enhancing Trust in Microgrid Energy Transactions: The Role of Blockchain." Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy, 12(5), 400-415.

[16] Energy 4.0: AI-enabled digital transformation for sustainable power networks. Muhammad Khalid Volume 193, July 2024, 110253

[17] D. A. Hirth et al. (2022). "Transactive Energy Systems in Microgrids: A Game-Theoretic Approach." IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid.

[18] Game Theory Approaches for the Solution of Power System Problems: A Comprehensive Review .Saee d Abapou r1 • Morteza Nazari-Heris1 • Behnam Mohammadi-Ivatloo1 • Mehrdad Tarafdar Hagh1.19 November 2018

[19] Nurcan Yarar 1, Yeliz Yoldas 2, Serkan Bahceci 3, Ahmet Onen 4 and Jaesung Jung 5, A Comprehensive Review Based on the Game Theory with Energy Management and Trading

[20] Game Theory Approaches for the Solution of Power System Problems: A Comprehensive Review. Saee d Abapou r1 • Morteza Nazari-Heris1 • Behnam Mohammadi-Ivatloo1 • Mehrdad Tarafdar Hagh1 2018

[21] Distributed collaborative operation strategies in multi-agent integrated energy system considering integrated demand response based on game theory Ke Li*, Ning Ye, Shuzhen Li, Haiyang Wang, Chenghui Zhang .School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, 250061, Shandong Province, China

[22] Innovative Energy Systems: The Role of Energy 4.0 in Shaping Azerbaijan's Sector .Yusifbayli N.A, Rustemzade E.Sh DOI - 10.61413/BYCI6990